		24
1		TES DISTRICT COURT STRICT OF NEW YORK
2		Χ
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	: 20-CR-390(ENV)
4	,	,
5	-against-	: United States Courthouse Brooklyn, New York
6	JAVIER AGUILAR,	:
7	Defendant.	January 5, 2024 : 10:00 o'clock a.m.
8		Χ
9	TRANS	CRIPT OF TRIAL
10		RABLE ERIC N. VITALIANO STRICT JUDGE, and a jury.
11	APPEARANCES:	, , ,
12	For the Government:	BREON PEACE
13	For the dovernment.	United States Attorney
14		BY: JONATHAN LAX MATTHEW GALEOTTI
15		Assistant United States Attorneys 271 Cadman Plaza East Brooklyn, New York
16		DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
17		1400 New York Avenue
18		Washington, D.C. 20001 BY: DEREK ETTINGER
19		CLAYTON P. SOLOMON D. HUNTER SMITH
20		
21	For the Defendant:	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN 51 Madison Avenue - 22nd Floor
22		New York, New York 10010
23		BY: DANIEL KOFFMANN, ESQ. MICHAEL PACKARD, ESQ.
24		WILLIAM PRICE, ÉSQ. WILLIAM WEINREB, ESQ.
25		NEIL PHILLIPS, ESQ. ABRAHAM MOUSSAKO, ESQ.
_•		

CMH OCR RDR FCRR

	Proceedings 25
1	APPEARANCES: (Continued)
2	
3	Court Reporter: Charleane M. Heading 225 Cadman Plaza East
4	Brooklyn, New York (718) 613-2643
5	Decondings proceeded by machanical standards by themselves
6	Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, transcript produced by computer-aided transcription.
7	
8	* * * *
9	
10	(In open court; outside the presence of the jury.)
11	THE CLERK: The case on the calendar is USA versus
12	Javier Aguilar. Case number 20 CR 390, on for a jury trial.
13	Will the attorneys please note their appearance,
14	beginning with government counsel.
15	MR. LAX: Good morning, Your Honor. Jonathan Lax,
16	Clayton Solomon, Matthew Galeotti, Derek Ettinger, Hunter
17	Smith, and Laura Arif, on behalf of the United States.
18	THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Lax, and your entire
19	table.
20	MR. KOFFMANN: Good morning, Your Honor. Daniel
21	Koffmann, Michael Packard, William Price, William Weinreb,
22	Abraham Moussako, Gabriella Trevino, and Raymond McLeod here,
23	on behalf of Mr. Javier Aguilar.
24	THE COURT: And good morning, to you, Mr. Koffmann,
25	and your entire table, and Mr. Aguilar.

CMH OCR RDR FCRR

	Proceedings 26	
1	THE CLERK: We also have a stand-by in-house Spanish	
2	interpreter. Please note your appearance for the record.	
3	THE INTERPRETER: Good morning, Your Honor. Sean	
4	McCarthy, S-E-A-N, staff Spanish interpreter, previously	
5	sworn.	
6	THE COURT: Good morning to you.	
7	THE CLERK: All counsel are present, including the	
8	defendant.	
9	THE COURT: Everyone may be seated.	
10	We can start with some housekeeping. Hopefully we	
11	seem to be off to a better start already. The deputy clerk	
12	has advised me that the juror who had the medical appointment	
13	for next Monday has taken, been able to reschedule that	
14	appointment. So we will have a full day on Monday, beginning	
15	at the regular time.	
16	That doesn't cause any confusion or trouble to, I	
17	assume, the government's table?	
18	MR. LAX: No, Your Honor.	
19	THE COURT: That's the extent of my housekeeping.	
20	Does either side have anything that we need to	
21	address before we bring the jury in?	
22	MR. LAX: Nothing from the government, Your Honor.	
23	MR. KOFFMANN: Nor the defense.	
24	THE COURT: All right. So I understand it,	
25	Mr. Solomon is handling the opening for the government?	

	Proceedings 27
1	MR. LAX: That's correct, Your Honor.
2	THE COURT: And, Mr. Koffmann, is the defense going
3	to open and, if so, who?
4	MR. KOFFMANN: We anticipate we will, and it will be
5	Mr. Price.
6	THE COURT: Okay. And, again, given my vision
7	limitations, when there's a handoff please let me know who you
8	are because I will not be able to recognize you otherwise.
9	All right. With that, then we will bring in the
10	jury, swear the jury, and have our opening instructions.
11	(Jury enters.)
12	THE COURT: Be seated, please.
13	Good morning. Counsel will stipulate that the jury
14	you all selected is present and properly seated?
15	MR. LAX: Yes, Your Honor.
16	MR. KOFFMANN: Yes, Your Honor.
17	THE COURT: Thank you very much. That being the
18	case, we will have the deputy clerk swear the jury.
19	THE CLERK: Please raise your right hand.
20	(Jury sworn by the clerk of the court.)
21	THE CLERK: Thank you.
22	THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Villanueva. The jury is
23	sworn.
24	Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, welcome to our
25	formal start of the trial, the production of evidence during

Proceedings

the course of this trial. I am privileged to preside over the trial. I am Judge Eric Vitaliano. I'm happy to welcome you to the Eastern District of New York and to the friendly confines of the Brooklyn Federal Courthouse.

We will be giving you instructions throughout the trial, and many times there will be reminders of those instructions. By the end of the trial, you probably can give them back to me, but we want to underscore the fact that we make so many reminders about how you, as jurors, are to conduct yourself, that we do so because it's extremely important to ensure the fairness of the trial and that we have a trial according to the laws of the United States.

I cannot impress upon you how important your services and your functions and duties are. There are many, many nations around the globe whom, I think, everyone in this room would consider to be free and democratic societies, but most of them do not provide justice, not only criminal justice, as the case here, but also even civil justice relying on the services of jurors; and jurors under our system are average, everyday people. We bring in average, everyday people to make some of the toughest decisions that a justice system is ever asked to make, but we rely on the common sense, the intelligence, the honesty, the commitment of the jurors that we select to provide that service.

I tell jurors this because I firmly believe it, and

FCRR

that is other than putting on a uniform and depending your country in a time of war, there is no greater service or demand of citizenship than to serve on a jury. It is an essential function.

We hear the expression "the rule of law" time and time again. We extol it as a virtue of our democracy, but we don't have it without you. Hundreds of thousands of men and women have shed their blood around the globe to protect the rule of law, and the torch that they brought home is now passed to you. You decide whether the torch stays lit or whether it's blown out.

Each of you now have taken on, by oath, a duty to provide that service, to be faithful to your oath, and to be faithful to each other. This is a combined effort.

I often will say that a jury is like an army. It can only move as fast as its slowest unit. So that we need everybody here at the same time. There are no days off. Everybody comes at the same time and leaves at the same time.

And we work as a unit to achieve what? We achieve justice. That's the assignment that all of us have. We owe it to the parties, not only to the defense but also to the government, and we owe it to, overall, to our system of justice.

We understand that it is an imposition on your time.
We understand that, and we are asking you to put aside other

things that are extremely important to you personally. That's
why I say it is like defending your country in a time of war.

You have to put the other stuff aside to get the job done.

That's what we ask, that's what we expect; and there have been

hundreds of thousands good American citizens who have sat in chairs like yours, the ones you're sitting in now, who have been able to provide that service and keep the torch of justice lit and burning brightly.

So with that, I'm going to ask now my deputy clerk of court and law clerk, Catherine Cazes, to read to you the instructions I have prepared that will give you a preview of what we're doing and also to give you the first set of instructions of how you conduct yourself, not only while you're here in the courthouse, but even outside the courthouse.

Ms. Cazes?

THE LAW CLERK: Members of the jury, we are about to start the trial of this case, about which you have heard some detail during jury selection. Before the trial begins, however, there are certain instructions you should have in order to understand what you will hear and see and how you should conduct yourself during the trial.

To begin with, you are here to administer justice in this case according to the law and the evidence with complete fairness and impartiality, and without bias, prejudice, or

sympathy for or against the government or the defendant.

This is important to the defendant, who is charged with a crime and has the constitutional right to receive a fair trial. The case is also important to the government, since the enforcement of the criminal laws is important.

There are three basic rules about a criminal case that you must keep in mind.

First, the defendant is presumed innocent unless and until proven guilty at the conclusion of the case. The indictment against the defendant brought by the government is only an accusation, nothing more. It is not proof of guilt or anything else. The defendant therefore starts out with a clean slate.

Second, the burden of proof is on the government throughout the case. The defendant has no burden to prove his innocence, or to present any evidence, or to testify. Since the defendant has the right to remain silent, the law prohibits you from arriving at your verdict by considering that the defendant may not have testified.

Third, the government must prove the defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt. I will give you further instructions on this point later, but bear in mind that in this respect, a criminal case is different from a civil case.

The case is based on an indictment. I will not read the full indictment to you, but, as Magistrate Judge Marutollo

did during jury selection, I will summarize it for you now.

Keep in mind, however, that an indictment is the document by which a criminal action is commenced and is merely an accusation, a charge. It is not evidence of a defendant's guilt.

The indictment contains three counts.

Count One charges that:

In or about and between March 2015 and July 2020, both dates being approximate and inclusive, within the Eastern District of New York and elsewhere, the Defendant Javier Aguilar, together with others, did knowingly and willfully conspire to commit one or more offenses against the United States, to wit:

A, being a domestic concern and employee of a domestic concern, to make use of the mails and means and instrumentalities of interstate commerce corruptly and in furtherance of an offer, payment, promise to pay and authorization of the payment of any money, offer, gift, promise to give and authorization of the payment of any money to a foreign official, to a foreign political party and official thereof, and to a person while knowing that all or a portion of such money and thing of value would be and had been offered, given, and promised to a foreign official and to a foreign political party and official thereof, for purposes of, one, influencing acts and decisions of such foreign official,

Proceedings

foreign political party and official thereof, in his, her, or its official capacity; two, inducing such foreign official, foreign political party and official thereof to do and omit to do such acts in violation of the lawful duty of such official and party; three, securing any improper advantage; and, four, inducing such foreign official, foreign political party and official thereof to use his, her or its influence with a foreign government and agencies and instrumentalities thereof to affect and influence acts and decisions of such government and agencies and instrumentalities, in order to assist Aguilar, Vitol, and others in obtaining and retaining business for and with, and directing business to Vitol and others, contrary to Title 15, United States Code, Section 78dd-2.

And, B, while in the territory of the United States, corruptly to make use of the mails and means and instrumentalities of interstate commerce and to do any act in furtherance of an offer, payment, promise to pay and authorization of the payment of any money, offer, gift, promise to give and authorization of the giving of anything of value to a foreign official, to a foreign political party and official thereof, and to a person while knowing that all or a portion of such money and thing of value would be and had been offered, given and promised to a foreign official and to a foreign political party and official thereof, for purposes of, one, influencing acts and decisions of such foreign official,

foreign political party and official thereof, in his, her or its official capacity; two, inducing such foreign official, foreign political party, and official thereof to do and omit to do acts in violation of the lawful duty of such official and party; three, securing any improper advantage; and, four, inducing such foreign official, foreign political party and official thereof to use his, her or its influence with a foreign government and agencies and instrumentalities thereof to effect and influence acts and decisions of such government and agencies and instrumentalities, in order to assist Enrique Pere Ycaza and others in obtaining and retaining business for and with, and directing business to Vitol and others, contrary to Title 15, United States Code, Section 78dd-3.

Count Two charges that:

On or about May 18, 2018, within the Southern
District of Texas, the Defendant Javier Aguilar, together with others, being a domestic concern and an employee of a domestic concern, did willfully make use of, and aid, abet and willfully cause others to make use of, the mails and means and instrumentalities of interstate commerce corruptly in furtherance of an offer, payment, promise to pay and authorization of the payment of any money, offer, gift, promise to give and authorization of the giving of anything of value to a foreign official, to a foreign political party and official thereof, and to a person while knowing that all or a

CMH OCR RDR FCRR

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Proceedings

portion of such money and thing of value would be and had been offered, given and promised to a foreign official and to a foreign political party and official thereof, for purposes of: One, influencing acts and decisions of such foreign official, foreign political party and official thereof in his, her or its official capacity; two, inducing such foreign official, foreign political party and official thereof to do and omit to do acts in violation of the lawful duty of such official and party; three, securing any improper advantage; and, four, inducing such foreign official, foreign political party and official thereof to use his, her or its influence with a foreign government and agencies and instrumentalities thereof to affect and influence acts and decisions of such government and agencies and instrumentalities, in order to assist Aguilar, Vitol and others in obtaining and retaining business for and with, and directing business to, Vitol and others.

Count Three charges that:

On or about and between March 1, 2015, and July 10, 2020, both dates being approximate and inclusive, within the Eastern District of New York and elsewhere, the Defendant Javier Aguilar, together with others, did knowingly and intentionally conspire to commit offenses under Title 18, United States Code, section 1956, to wit:

A, to transport, transmit and transfer monetary instruments and funds from one or more places in the United

35

FCRR

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

States to and through one or more places outside the United States, and to one or more places in the United States from and through one or more places outside the United States, with the intent to promote the carrying on of one or more specified unlawful activities, to wit: One, felony violations of the FCPA, in violation of Title 15, United States Code, Sections 78dd-2 and 78dd-3; and, two, one or more offenses against a foreign nation involving bribery of a public official, and the misappropriation, theft and embezzlement of public funds by and for the benefit of a public official, in violation of the Ecuadorian Penal Code and the Mexican Penal Code, as defined in Title 18, United States Code, Section 1956(c)(7)(B)(iv), collectively the specified unlawful activities, contrary to Title 18, United States Code, Section 1956(a)(2)(A); and B, to transport, transmit and transfer monetary instruments and funds from one or more places in the United States to and through one or more places outside the United States, and to one or more places in the United States from and through one or more places outside the United States, knowing that such monetary instruments and funds involved in the transportation, transmissions and transfers represented the proceeds of some form of unlawful activity, and knowing that such transportation, transmissions and transfers were designed in whole and in part to conceal and disguise the

nature, the location, the source, the ownership and the

Proceedings

control of the proceeds of one or more of the specified unlawful activities, contrary to Title 18, United States Code, Section 1956(a)(2)(B)(i).

Since the defendant has pleaded not guilty, the government has the burden of proving each of the essential elements of each charge of the indictment beyond a reasonable doubt. The purpose of the trial is to determine whether the government meets this burden.

I will repeat: The defendant does not have to prove his innocence. On the contrary, the defendant is presumed to be innocent of the accusations against him contained in the indictment.

The trial will proceed in the following order.

First, the parties have the opportunity to make opening statements. The government will make such a statement. Then the defendant, though he is not obliged to do so, may make an opening statement, or may defer it until the end of the government's case. What is said in these statements is not evidence, but simply an introduction to the evidence that the parties intend to produce.

Next, the government will introduce evidence in support of the charges.

Then the defendant may present evidence, but he is not required to do so. The burden is always on the government to prove every element of each offense charged beyond a

CMH OCR RDR FCRR

Proceedings

reasonable doubt. The law never imposes on the defendant in a criminal case the burden of calling any witnesses or introducing any evidence.

After all of the evidence has been presented, each party has the opportunity to present an argument in support of their case. What is said in these arguments is not evidence. They simply present to you the contentions of the parties as to what the evidence has shown and what inferences may be drawn from the evidence. The government has the right to open and close the argument.

Lastly, The Court will instruct you on the applicable law, and you will then retire to consider your verdict. Your verdict must be unanimous.

You have a tremendously important task as jurors. It is to determine the facts. Our constitution gives the defendant a right to have you, who are members of the community, find those facts. You, and not The Court, are the sole and exclusive judges of the facts, and nothing I say or do should be taken by you as any indication of my opinion as to the facts.

As to the facts, neither I nor anyone else may invade your area of responsibility. I will preside impartially and will not express any opinion concerning the facts. Any opinions of mine on the facts would, in any event, be entirely irrelevant because the facts are for you to

CMH OCR RDR FCRR

decide.

On the other hand, and with equal emphasis, I instruct you that, in accordance with the oath you took as jurors, you are required to accept the rules of law that I give you, whether you agree with them or not. You are not to ask anyone else about the law. You should not consider or accept any advice about the law from anyone else but me.

The evidence from which you will find the facts will consist of the testimony of witnesses, as well as documents or other things received on the record as exhibits in evidence. Evidence also includes any facts that the lawyers agree to or stipulate to or that The Court may instruct you to find.

There are two kinds of evidence: Direct and circumstantial. Direct evidence is a direct proof of a fact, such as testimony of an eye witness.

Circumstantial evidence is proof of facts from which you may infer or conclude that other facts exist. I will give you further instructions on these as well as other matters at the end of the case, but keep in mind that you may consider both kinds of evidence.

Ultimately, when it comes to the testimony of witnesses, it will be up to you to decide which witnesses to believe, which witnesses not to believe, and how much of any witness's testimony to accept or reject. I will give you some guidelines for determining the credibility of witnesses at the

end of the case.

Certain things you may hear or see are not evidence and must not be considered by you. I will list them for you now.

One: Statements, arguments and questions by lawyers are not evidence.

Two: Objections to questions are not evidence.

Lawyers have an obligation to their clients to make objections when they believe evidence being offered is improper under the rules of evidence. You should not be influenced by the objections or by The Court's rulings on them. These rulings deal with questions of law and not fact.

Objections and rulings have nothing do with your role as jurors. They are for The Court to decide.

If the objection is sustained, ignore the question. If it is overruled, treat the answer like any other. If you are instructed that some item of evidence is received for a limited purpose only, you must follow that instruction.

Testimony, three: Testimony that The Court has excluded or told you to disregard is not evidence and must not be considered.

Four: Anything you may have seen or heard outside the courtroom is not evidence and must be disregarded. You are to decide the case solely on the evidence presented here in the courtroom.

Proceedings

There are several rules that should govern your conduct during any recess, that is, when you are not in the courtroom. You will not be required to remain together while court is in recess, but you are required to follow these instructions about recesses.

Do not discuss the case among yourselves or with anyone else. This includes discussing the case in person, in writing, by phone, or electronic means, via text messaging, e-mail, Facebook, X, formerly known as Twitter, Instagram, Snapchat, TikTok, blogging, or any internet chat room, website, or other feature.

You should keep an open mind, reaching your conclusion only during your final deliberations after all the evidence is in and you have heard the attorneys' summations and The Court's instructions on the law, and then only after an exchange of views with the other members of the jury.

Do not try to do any research or make any investigation on your own about the case or any individuals or entities involved in the case. Do not read, listen to, or watch any accounts of this case should it be covered by any media. The case is to be decided only by the evidence you see and hear in the courtroom during trial.

Do not permit any other person to discuss the case in your presence, and if anyone does so, even though you tell him or her not to, report that fact to me. You should not,

however, discuss with your fellow jurors either that fact or any other fact that you feel necessary to bring to my attention.

Though it is a normal human reaction to talk with people with whom one is thrown together in contact, please do not talk with any of the parties or their attorneys or any witness, whether in the courtroom, in the hallways, in the elevator, outside, or anywhere else. By this I mean do not only do not talk about the case, but do not talk at all, even to pass the time of the day.

Under the law, only twelve jurors will deliberate on this case when it is submitted for consideration.

We have selected additional jurors, called alternates. Alternate jurors are selected to serve because a regular juror may be prevented from continuing to serve by some emergency, such as a serious illness or death. Although this seldom happens during a trial, there are cases where we do call on the services of alternates. Alternates are required to pay the same careful attention to the trial as the regular jurors, so that if needed they will be fully familiar with the case.

The fact that there are alternate jurors does not mean that any regular jury is free to excuse himself or herself from the case. As a duly chosen juror, it is your obligation to be available throughout the trial.

Opening - Solomon

The description of trial procedure, the rules governing your conduct, and the legal principles governing a criminal case I have just discussed with you, will, I believe, make it easier for you to understand the trial as it goes on and to reach a proper and just result at its conclusion.

Now, ladies and gentlemen, we are ready to proceed with the next phase of the trial.

THE COURT: Thank you Ms. Cazes.

Ladies and gentlemen, I refer to these generally as the building blocks of a trial, and, as outlined in the instructions that Catherine just read to you, that next to those building blocks are opening statements.

The government will make an opening statement, and I call on Mr. Clayton Solomon to make those remarks on behalf of the government.

MR. SOLOMON: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Solomon.

MR. SOLOMON: Thank you.

Nearly a million dollars in bribes. That's how much money the Defendant Javier Aguilar paid to foreign officials as part of a sprawling international scheme to win lucrative oil and gas contracts from the governments of Ecuador and Mexico.

The defendant bribed officials who held positions of influence, in public trust. They were responsible for

CMH OCR RDR FCRR

Opening - Solomon

managing their country's most valuable natural resources and for buying the oil and gas products that the people of Ecuador and Mexico relied upon every day in their lives, in their businesses and in their homes.

The evidence in this case will show that in exchange for the bribes, many of which were paid into accounts right here in the United States, those officials helped the defendant and his company win contracts involving over a half a billion dollars in oil and gas, over a half a billion dollars in corrupt deals.

To avoid getting caught, the defendant paid the bribes through a web of middleman all over the world. He and his partners in crime used code words to describe the payments. They referred to each other using aliases, and they used anonymized e-mail accounts to communicate about the things they wanted to hide.

What was in it for him? As you'll see, when the defendant's company made money, he made money, often more than a million dollars a year in salary and bonus. And that's not all. His interests as a shareholder in the company was many, many times that amount.

Ladies and gentlemen, the defendant orchestrated his bribery and money laundering scheme from right here in the United States, where he lived and where he worked, and whether it's for access or influence or for greed, it is a federal

CMH OCR RDR FCRR

Opening - Solomon

crime for people who live and work in the United States to bribe foreign government officials for business. That is why we are here.

My name is Clayton Solomon. I'm an attorney with the United States Department of Justice, and with me today are Assistant United States Attorneys Jonathan Lax and Matthew Galeotti, Department of Justice Trial Attorneys Derek Ettinger and Hunter Smith, and, last but not least, one of our paralegal specialists Laura Arif.

Together, we have the privilege of representing the United States. On behalf of our whole team, I would like to thank you for your time and service as jurors and for your time and careful attention during this important trial.

With our time together this morning, I'd first like to give you some background on the national oil companies of Ecuador and Mexico and the two deals, one in each of those countries, that the evidence will show that the defendant won by bribing foreign government officials.

The defendant worked as a trader at the United States office of a company called Vitol, one of the largest energy trading companies in the world. Vitol buys and sells millions of barrels of oil every single day and, as a global energy trader, some of Vitol's business partners are government-owned oil companies.

You'll hear about two of those oil companies many

Opening - Solomon

times in this case. The first is called Petroecuador, the national oil company of the Republic of Ecuador. The other is called Petroleos Mexicanos, or Pemex, along with its 100 percent owned subsidiary Pemex Procurement International.

Let's begin with Ecuador. Ecuador's most important natural resource is its oil. Its oil is owned by the government and the Ecuadorian people. The country produces more oil than it uses. Petroecuador is responsible for selling that extra oil to other governments and to private companies all over the world. Ecuador's economy quite literally depends on it.

The evidence will show that Petroecuador's employees are government officials. One of those officials, who the defendant and his co-conspirators paid hundreds of thousands of dollars in bribes, was responsible for managing Petroecuador's entire oil trade program. That official supervised over 100 people and regularly met with Ecuador's vice president.

Turning to Pemex, Pemex does many of the same things as Petroecuador but in Mexico. Like Petroecuador, the evidence will show that Pemex's employees and the employees of its subsidiaries are also government officials. Pemex is the state-owned oil company of Mexico. When it wants to buy oil products on the international market, it sometimes uses its wholly owned subsidiary, Pemex Procurement.

CMH OCR RDR FCRR

Opening - Solomon

Pemex Procurement is incorporated in Delaware and has an office in Houston; but, make no mistake, the individuals working for those companies are Mexican government officials as well. Among other things, you'll learn that everything those officials did, everything, was for and on behalf of Pemex and the Mexican government and that Pemex Procurement is 100 percent owned by its parent company and namesake Pemex.

The Mexican officials the defendant bribed helped manage a process through which Pemex awarded public contracts, contracts worth hundreds of millions of dollars.

This case is going to focus primarily on two deals, one in Ecuador and one in Mexico, that the defendant won for Vitol through bribes.

In the first deal, the defendant's company won the right to buy, market and sell 17.1 million barrels of a product called fuel oil number 6 from Petroecuador over 30 months with an initial up-front payment of \$300 million. The fuel oil contract was negotiated by the defendant and Vitol's lawyers who are right here in New York.

As you'll hear, in 2016, when Ecuador was awarded the fuel oil contract, private companies like Vitol couldn't just walk in and buy 17 million barrels of oil from the Ecuadorian government, at least not without participating in a public competitive bidding process. To avoid that process,

CMH OCR RDR FCRR

Opening - Solomon

the defendant had another foreign government, the Government of Oman, a country in the middle east, enter into the deal on Vitol's behalf. By doing so, one of the Ecuadorian officials who the defendant was bribing, the same official who was in charge of those negotiations, was able to negotiate directly with the defendant rather than have, have to award the contract to the highest bidder.

As you'll hear, through a web of middlemen, the defendant paid bribes to Ecuadorian government officials beginning with a so-called success fee, kind of like a signing bonus, of several hundred thousand dollars.

Let's turn to Mexico. Fresh off winning the fuel oil deal in Ecuador, the defendant struck another deal to help his company win business, this time by bribing Mexican government officials. That's how in 2018 Vitol won several contracts to sell petroleum products to the government of Mexico, including a multiyear deal for over 600 tons of a product called liquid ethane, valued at more than \$200 million.

Even when the defendant's company lost a deal -- the bribes didn't guarantee they'd win every time -- you'll hear that he ended up winning it anyway. The defendant paid two Mexican government officials hundreds of thousands of dollars each through a maze of intermediaries.

As you'll see, even though those officials lived in

49 Opening - Solomon Houston, the same city as the defendant, he had the bribe 1 2 money sent to an island in the Caribbean, then to Mexico, where it bounced around through a bunch of different 3 4 companies, and, finally, into various bank accounts, many in the United States, held in the names of the official's family, 5 6 friends and associates. Several other times, the middlemen paid the bribes in cash, right outside the parking lot of 7 8 Pemex Procurement. So that's a high-level summary of the two corrupt 9 10 deals in Ecuador and Mexico that the defendant won through 11 bribes. 12 But winning the deal was only part of the 13 defendant's scheme. The key was finding a way or trying to 14 find a way to pay the bribes without getting caught. 15 (Continued on the next page.) 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

CMH OCR RDR FCRR

Opening - Solomon

(continuing.)

MR. SOLOMON: As you'll hear, the defendant didn't just drop off bags of cash himself. He paid the bribes through middlemen spread out across various countries. That way if something went sideways, it would be hard, although as you'll see not impossible, to trace the money right back to him.

Together the defendant and his co-conspirators entered into contracts for services that were never performed. They drafted invoices for work that was never done. They sent money to offshore companies with no actual business, and they made sure there were layers of separation -- intentional separation between the defendant and the corrupt officials whose pockets he lined.

Here is how it worked in three steps:

Step 1: For both Ecuador and Mexico, the defendant and his co-conspirators caused Vitol to send money to a middleman in Curacao, a small island in the Caribbean, for work that he never performed.

Step 2: The defendant's Curacao-based middleman sent the money to another group of middlemen who worked on either the Ecuador side of the scheme or the Mexico side of the scheme. Those middlemen didn't know each other, but they all knew the defendant.

Step 3: The Ecuador only and the Mexico only

middlemen paid the bribes to the government officials or the officials' relatives on the defendant's and Vitol's behalf.

The scheme was complex. The evidence will show that the defendant and his co-conspirators designed it that way so that their crimes would never see the light of day or a courtroom.

Let's talk for a moment about some of the defendant's co-conspirators and each of those three steps.

First, there was the middlemen in Curacao, who the defendant was connected to by one of his colleagues in Vitol's offices in Switzerland, who had known him for years.

As you'll hear, the Curacao-based middlemen communicated with several people in Vitol, but his only role in the Ecuador and Mexico schemes was to receive and move dirty money for the defendant and his company.

That's why, when the defendant communicated with him, he often used personal email accounts he created under made up names like Perez Marcos 007 and Sixto Tomas 007, when the defendant gave his middleman the okay, and he told his money -- his middleman how much money to send and where, he did it. No questions asked. Again, that was just the first step.

The Ecuador bribes, the second step, involved two brothers who operated from Miami and Ecuador who managed various offshore bank accounts in Panama and the Cayman

Islands, among other places.

Even though the defendant spoke with the brothers all the time, he paid them indirectly through the middleman in Curacao.

For the Mexico only bribes, the defendant's second step involved yet another middleman, an associate of the Mexican officials who lived in Houston. Again, you'll hear that the Mexico only middlemen had no other role in the scheme but to launder money and to add additional layers of separation between the defendant and the officials he was bribing, even though they all lived in Houston, the same city.

Like the Ecuadorian brothers, the middlemen on the Mexico side of the scheme got paid through the middleman in Curacao, and they all had one thing in common, the defendant.

In the third step, the Ecuador only and Mexico only middlemen dealt directly with the corrupt officials. It paid the bribes on the defendant's behalf and with Vitol money.

As you'll see some of the bribes were paid in overseas bank accounts. Other times the middlemen just paid for things on behalf of the officials. As one example, it paid 120,000 Euro invoice for an interior designer working for one of the Ecuadorian officials.

So in exchange for helping the defendant and his company win the fuel oil contract, the official got not only money but also new marble floors and four redecorated

bathrooms in his home in Portugal.

On the Mexico side of the scheme, the defendant's middlemen paid the bribes, many of the bribes into accounts right here in the United States and in cash. As you'll hear, the middlemen once stuffed some of the cash into medicine boxes.

And after that, the Mexican officials began using code words like medicina to refer to the bribes. They used words like zapatos, shoes; invitaciones, invitations; and gringos in reference to bribes paid in American dollars.

For his role in the scheme, the defendant is charged with three crimes. Judge Vitaliano will instruct you on the law at the end of trial, and you should follow his instructions.

A conspiracy is just an agreement between two or more people to do something illegal. As I mentioned earlier, it is a crime for people who live in the United States or who work for United States companies, like the defendant, to offer or to pay bribes to foreign officials.

That law is called the Foreign Corrupt Practices

Act, or the FCPA. In the second count, the defendant is

charged with violating the FCPA in connection with the Ecuador
bribery scheme.

Third, the defendant was charged with conspiracy, or agreement with others, to commit money laundering. As you'll

hear, money laundering is a crime that involves sending money in or out of the United States when the purpose of the transfer is to promote certain other crimes, including foreign bribery.

It's also a crime to send money in or out of the United States to try to hide the nature of the sources of money used to pay bribes. The money laundering scheme relates to both the Ecuador and the Mexico bribery schemes.

So those are the charges.

Now, how will the government prove its case to you beyond a reasonable doubt? For starters, you're going to get an inside view of the scheme from multiple witnesses, cooperating witnesses who participated in the scheme right alongside the defendant.

You'll hear from several of the bribed paid middlemen, and you'll also hear from several of the foreign government officials who received the bribes. Together, they will paint a picture of the defendant's crime from nearly every angle.

We expect that witness after witness after witness is going to testify about the bribery of money laundering schemes and the defendant's essential role in it. For example, the defendant personally approved many of the payments, and that he was running the corrupt negotiations with the government officials. He was bribing them.

Opening - Solomon

These are the people who the defendant chose to commit these crimes with.

As you'll hear, each of those witnesses will testify pursuant to what's called a cooperation agreement with the government. That's because they were arrested and because they've accepted responsibility for their crimes. They've pleaded guilty for their role in the schemes.

We expect that those witnesses are going to come right out and say that they're cooperating to have the hope that the judge might give them lighter sentences in their own cases.

You will have the opportunity to scrutinize their testimony, and when you do, you'll find that that testimony is corroborated or backed up by the other evidence you'll see and hear in this case.

Finally, I'm just going to summarize some of the other categories of evidence you'll see in this trial, and I'll be brief, because as soon as the government's first witness is called, the evidence will speak for itself.

In this trial, you're going to see numerous documents and emails such as communications from the 007 email accounts, which he used to tell his Curacao-based middlemen where to send the money, money that ended up in the pockets of foreign government officials.

You will see contracts for invoices for work that

was never performed, invoices for sophisticated sounding things like swap settlement agreements and brokerage services, which middlemen sent out for payment wherever and whenever the defendant told them to.

Again, those invoices were just a vehicle for getting money out of Vitol, to pay the bribes and to hide what the defendant and his co-conspirators were doing.

You'll see the defendant's text messages where he discussed the scheme, for example, text messages where he discussed the information needed to prepare those invoices between the Curacao and Ecuador middlemen, and where defendant received confidential information from the same Mexican officials from whom he was bribing.

You will see bank records that traced the money all the way from Vitol's bank accounts to bank accounts of Curacao to the other middlemen, and eventually the Ecuadorian and Mexican government officials.

And you'll hear the defendant's own voice, multiple recorded conversations with his middlemen, including in a phone call with his co-conspirators while they were right here in Brooklyn.

All this evidence, the cooperating witnesses, the 007 emails, the text messages, the invoices, the bank records, the recorded conversations and more, will prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant conspired or agreed to pay

Opening - Solomon

nearly a million dollars in bribes to officials in Ecuador and Mexico.

And in exchange for those bribes, the official helped the defendant and his company win contracts for oil and gas of over \$500,000,000.

The evidence will also show that the defendant conspired to promote the scheme and conceal its proceeds by laundering the bribe money through his international web middlemen.

Finally, the evidence will show the defendant committed these crimes for personal gain, for stature within his company, and for money, and because he thought that the elaborate system he set up would help him keep him from getting caught. He succeeded in all of those things for many years, until now.

Ladies and gentlemen, at the end of this trial, after you've had the opportunity to hear and see all of the evidence, we will have the opportunity to speak to you again. At that time, we will ask you to return the only verdict consistent with all the evidence, guilty on all counts.

Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Solomon.

Mr. Price, does the defense wish to make an opening statement at this time?

MR. PRICE: We do, Your Honor. I was wondering if

	Opening - Price 59
1	MR. LAX: Yes, Your Honor.
2	(Jury present.)
3	THE COURT: Counsel will stipulate that the jury is
4	present and properly seated.
5	MR. LAX: Yes, Your Honor.
6	MR. KOFFMANN: Yes, Your Honor.
7	THE COURT: Thank you, counsel.
8	Ladies and gentlemen, welcome back. Hope you've had
9	a chance to refresh. That was our midmorning break, and we
10	are ready to resume.
11	We remain on openings. I'm going to remind you that
12	what you're hearing or heard from Mr. Solomon and what you're
13	about to hear in the defense opening is not evidence. This is
14	the introduction to evidence that the parties are providing to
15	us, introduction to evidence and to the case.
16	Now, we will hear an opening for the defense, and
17	for that purpose, I call upon Mr. William Price to make the
18	opening statement.
19	MR. PRICE: Thank you, Your Honor.
20	OPENING STATEMENT BY MR. PRICE:
21	MR. PRICE: Ladies and gentlemen, good morning. My
22	name is Bill Price, and I have the privilege, along with my
23	colleagues you've met yesterday, to represent Mr. Aguilar in
24	this case.
25	This case is about corruption, but it is not about

Mr. Aguilar's corruption. Rather, the evidence will show that Mr. Aguilar, who is a very successful trader in the oil exchange markets, that he engaged in what he believed were legitimate dealings, legitimate business dealings with the governments of Ecuador and Mexico, and that no bribes were being paid. He didn't know.

Let me start with Ecuador. What the evidence will show is that Mr. Aguilar, on behalf of Vitol, engaged consultants in Ecuador. And you'll hear that consultants are, in fact, commonplace; in fact, in this case necessary when you're trying to arrange dealings with another government in a foreign land.

He engaged with what the government has called a middleman. They didn't give you names and tell you what these people were. Well, let me give you some information about that.

He hired a company, Oil Intelligence Corporation, which was run by Antonio Pere and his brother, Enrique Pere. This is a company which has helped companies interact, negotiate with the Ecuadorian government for many years, and this is a company that presented itself as legitimate.

These were people who were well qualified. They sent pitch letters to companies saying, we can help you engage with the Ecuadorian government.

They did presentations that explained the kinds of

things they could do, that they knew who to contact, they knew what kind of contracts they needed, all of that, and that's what Mr. Aguilar saw.

What he did not know, and which is undisputed, is that Mr. Pere and his brother had, for years, for decades -- not decades, but say years, maybe a decade, had a self-contained criminal enterprise that didn't require the participation of the company who hired them, but they basically bribed government officials to get results. They could brag about results so that they could get business.

And if we can put up slide one. This is very simplistic. Basically, a client would hire the Pere brothers' companies, and, you know, what was going on up here was we're a legitimate company. We have offices in Miami, beautiful offices. We have expertise. We can help you. We get results.

What is also going on up here is what Mr. Aguilar saw were the efforts, you know, were the communications, were the drafting of contracts, were the kind of things that you expect consultants to do.

What was going on down here is that the Pere brothers had contacts and were bribing foreign officials from their fee. They're getting a fee, and then they're taking a part of that, and that's the way they're getting results.

And this system existed long before Mr. Aguilar ever

had anything to do with the contracts at issue in this case.

In fact, to give you one example, there is a company called Gunvor. Gunvor did a number of contracts with the Ecuadorian government, and they used the Pere brothers as consultants.

They paid I think it was \$97 billion over a series of contracts to the Pere brothers. The Pere brothers took a portion of that and paid 22 million to various government officials, a huge scheme that Mr. Aguilar did not know about. He did not know about the Pere brothers' secret sauce, and that's what the evidence will show.

Now, the evidence will also show that it was not in Antonio or Enrique Pere's interest, and it was not necessary for Mr. Aguilar to know what was going on. You'll hear evidence, for example, that Mr. Pere did not want people to know about the criminal scheme. The more people that know about it, the more likely you're going to get caught. So it's only on a need to know basis.

The evidence will also show that the problem in letting the client know is it might affect what you get here, and let me give you an example.

Remember I told you about Gunvor? There is a gentleman called Ray Kohut who is like a trader for Gunvor, an agent, and he hooked up with the Pere brothers. He had extensive experience in Ecuador, by the way.

And after a couple of the oil contracts that the

Pere brothers helped Gunvor get, he thought, yeah, I know what
you're doing, you're bribing government officials.

So he went to the Pere brothers and said, hey, I know what's going on, I want part of your fee.

What happened, of course, is the Pere brothers had to give up part of their fee to keep this going, to keep it quiet to Mr. Kohut. Obviously that is not something they wanted to happen.

The evidence is undisputed that in this case

Mr. Aguilar did not receive a penny from the amount of money
that the Pere brothers received.

The evidence will show that Mr. Aguilar did not know, and no one wanted him to know how this success was being done. It was unnecessary and it would have been hurtful.

Now, you heard the government talk about cooperators, and I want to talk to you a little bit about what happened and who those witnesses actually are.

The Pere brothers are among them. What happened is that -- by the way, until the Pere brothers and then others started cooperating with the government, there is not -- no proof, not an email communication, not a private email or whatever, to Mr. Aguilar informing him that we're paying bribes, and that's how this is getting done.

Nothing until -- well, until the Pere brothers

Opening - Price

started cooperating, and then I'll talk to you about what happens then.

But the Pere brothers and others, these cooperating witnesses, were caught, talking about the people here, were caught red handed, and they're here to deliver Mr. Aguilar to you and point at him, and they're doing it for their own benefit, to try to minimize, or in hopes of avoiding jail time for them and their family members because they involve like their wives and sons and their families in this, and that's the least dependable evidence you can rely on.

Now, in Mexico, it is undisputed that not a single person at PEMEX -- PEMEX is the Mexican government entity that reviews -- and the contract that's at issue here -- it reviews bids, decides who wins. Not a single person in that entity received any funds whatsoever for Mr. Aguilar.

The evidence will show that Mr. Aguilar didn't have contacts. He did provide payments to two individuals at a company called PPI. The evidence will show that that is not a government entity. The employees there do not get government benefits from Mexico. They don't get Social Security. They don't get vacation time.

In fact, it's a company that is in the United States, in Houston, and organized, incorporated, under the laws of the United States.

And these people that the government is going to

tell you received payments, they had no influence whatsoever on who the Mexican government gave a bid to. With respect to the long-term oil contract that's at issue here, in fact, they never even saw the bids. There's no bribery in Mexico either.

As a matter of fact, Vitol didn't even win that big bid. The bids went in, and the government awarded it to the best bidder. It wasn't Vitol.

What happened is that that bidder, then, had second thoughts and said, you know, we can't really make that price, you know, and the government then turned to Vitol and said, will you step in and do it on these terms, and Vitol said, yes. So that's what happened in Mexico.

So let me, if I can, then, give you a little more detail and identify these cooperating witnesses or what were referred to as middlemen that the government mentioned but did not actually tell you about.

You're going to see these people on the stand, these people who have entered into cooperation agreements to avoid repercussions and their responsibility for the undeniable criminal activity that they engaged in.

Now, first let me tell you a little bit about Mr. Aguilar.

Bring up slide 2.

Mr. Aguilar, actually, is a chemist by training, but he became an oil trader, and that helped him a lot

because particularly the project he dealt with was liquid petroleum gas, which is very unstable and very difficult to transport around the world.

But he became an excellent trader, being able to get gas and sell it to others on behalf of Vitol. He worked in London for 12 years. And, by the way, Vitol's headquarters is in Switzerland. You'll hear that referred to as the cheese place sometimes in some of the evidence you'll get.

And so they wanted him to go to Mexico and start running that office.

Well, Mr. Aguilar didn't really -- well, at the time, the Mexico office wasn't really up and running, so he moved to Houston instead. And the idea was he would go to Mexico, but, you know, he developed a life there. He had two twin girls. He had a family here in Houston, in the United States.

So he decided not to go into the Mexican office. Instead you'll hear about lots of travel to Mexico, and he would also visit Ecuador.

Now, Mr. Aguilar is not a top executive at Vitol.

He is not a senior executive in Vitol. In the Mexican office there are like -- he's got two bosses. And the real bosses, the people who run the company, are in Switzerland.

They're the ones who make policy, and by the way, it was a top executive, a managing director, that instructed

Opening - Price

Mr. Aguilar on how Mr. Aguilar was to pay the consultants he dealt with.

You heard the government talk about there was this Curacao middleman. There was this payment structure and how suspicious it was.

Let me tell you what the evidence is about that, and there are two things that you'll learn in this trial. One, that payment structure was created by a top Vitol executive before Mr. Aguilar had anything to do with these contracts.

And second, you'll hear that what happened when the government started its investigation is that this top executive, a man named Marc Ducrest, and the person he brought in, the Curacao middleman you heard about, a gentleman named Lionel Hanst, you will hear that they got together, and they decided that in order to protect Mr. Ducrest, they would point the finger at Mr. Aguilar and say he was the one responsible for this.

Let me tell you what the facts will show in this.

First of all, Lionel Hanst, who is called a Curacao middleman, that's to make you think of exotic hidden locations and all that stuff.

Well, he is based in Curacao. And by the way, Mr. Ducrest also worked in Curacao at one point. Let me tell you about the Ducrest/Lionel Hanst relationship, these two gentlemen who actually created this structure.

Nicole Sesta, RPK, RMK, CRR Officia, Cour, Reporter

By the way, you're not going to hear from Mr.

Ducrest. You're going to hear from Mr. Hanst, we understand.

You'll see him on the stand, and most of these facts will come out of his mouth.

And what you'll learn is that in the 1990s,

Mr. Hanst and Mr. Ducrest and their families developed a very

close relationship. In the late '90s, 2000s, they worked

together at a previous employer where they were engaged in the

same kind of business as Vitol is, basically trading.

And then in December 2013, Mr. Hanst met Mr. Ducrest because Mr. Ducrest had gone on to Vitol. He was becoming an important person in Vitol, as I said, top, top executive, and Mr. Hanst described this in his own words as the origin of his involvement was Vitol.

In October, November 2014, Mr. Hanst interviews Mr. Ducrest in Holland, at almost exactly the same time. And, folks, just to give perspective here, Mr. Aguilar is not involved in any of this.

And the first payments in Ecuador don't come until October -- I'm sorry, January of 2017, first payments to Mexico, not until, I think, October 2017.

So this is long before Mr. Aguilar has anything to do with this. So they have -- Mr. Hanst and Mr. Ducrest have this meeting in October, November, and about the same time, Mr. Hanst creates these two companies.

They're called Lion Oil and Zanza Oil, and he creates them to process Vitol payments to people like the consultants, to people like Ducrest. He creates the structure before he's ever heard of Mr. Aguilar. This structure is set up before Mr. Aguilar has anything to do with this.

And this -- by the way, can we quickly show I think slide 7, that graph. Okay.

So what happens is this Curacao middleman, Mr.

Ducrest, the top executive of -- one of the top executives of Vitol, he trades these companies, Lion Oil and Zanza Oil.

Those companies are used to pay the fees to the Pere brothers.

These are companies that were created before

Mr. Aguilar didn't know anything about it. This was a pre-existing structure that Vitol created.

Now, if we can go back to the -- so after this, in November of 2014, after these companies are created to process Vitol payments by a top executive, Mr. Hanst, Mr. Hanst sets up an office in Curacao for the purpose of working for Vitol.

By the way, shortly after that, there is a Venezuelan deal signed, oil deal signed. Mr. Hanst has pled guilty with respect to that deal saying that there were bribes involved in that deal, and Mr. Aguilar had nothing to do with this. This is a structure that preexisted Mr. Aguilar, the structure itself.

Now, what happens? The government begins

Opening - Price

investigating, I think bribery in general. There are various investigations going on, and Mr. Hanst and Mr. Ducrest realize, huh, the government is looking at us, and they might think this does not look quite right. So what happens?

Well, if we can put up the next slide.

Oh, I'm sorry. I missed this. There is a couple of things you need to know before I talk about this, and that is the structure that was put in place, that Mr. Aguilar was told to use, was approved by Switzerland. It was approved by Vitol in Switzerland.

You heard about big agreements between the Curacao middleman and Vitol. Those agreements are something called swap agreements and brokerage agreements. You'll hear some detail about that. They're agreements between Mr. Hanst's companies and Vitol. All right. But you'll see. You'll see evidence that Switzerland is the one that approved those deals. You'll see of a piece of paper that's stamped, this is approved.

Those contracts with Vitol were approved by the top people in Vitol before Mr. Aguilar has anything to do with this.

There were payments then that Lion Oil and Zanza Oil would request from Vitol so it could then send payments to consultants and others. Okay?

Those requests for payments were sent to Switzerland

by Mr. Hanst. They were approved by Switzerland, by Vitol, not by Mr. Aguilar.

Finally, Switzerland approved the ultimate payment of fees to these consultants. So the Pere brothers get their success fees, and they get fees based upon the amount of oil that's sold. Right? It's in their contract.

And to pay those, Mr. Hanst, whenever he would get a request, he would usually get them from the Pere brothers, he would go to Mr. Ducrest. In fact, he testified -- well, we think he will testify that Mr. Ducrest approved of every one of those, every one of those payments for what Mr. Aguilar thought were legitimate consulting services.

Now, getting now to what happened when Mr. Ducrest and Mr. Hanst started getting a little bit nervous about this. In June of 2020, a bank employee tells Mr. Hanst his accounts are being watched. Probably shouldn't have told him that, but what will the evidence show? Mr. Hanst immediately calls Mr. Ducrest, an executive of Vitol.

In July of 2020, Mr. Aguilar is arrested by the government. You'll hear what that is based upon, including the cooperation of the Pere brothers and others.

And what does Mr. Hanst do? He calls Mr. Ducrest. Mr. Ducrest tells him, don't worry, you don't know anything, you're fine.

Then in December of 2020, Vitol is fined, pays a

Opening - Price

fine in connection with some of these contracts. Mr. Hanst calls Mr. Ducrest. And then in February of 2021, Mr. Hanst receives what's called a subject letter from US Department of Justice.

And what that means is you get a letter saying you're the subject of an investigation, basically which is saying, we think you've engaged in criminal activity.

And this is where the evidence will show Mr. Ducrest and Mr. Hanst gets together and says, here's what we're going to do, we're going to point the finger at Mr. Aguilar, who is an employee who we directed to use this payment structure, and we're going to say it was all his idea.

So here's what the evidence will show. The evidence will show that Mr. Hanst hires lawyers. His lawyers contact the US government. They agree to something called a proffer.

That's where the government sends you a letter and says, basically, you can come to the United States. We'll talk to you. Tell us what you know. We won't arrest you, you know, while you're here. We won't prosecute you based on what you say, although if you're dishonest with us, we can prosecute you for perjury and for obstruction of justice in connection with other cases, and then we can talk about whether or not we can reach a cooperation agreement, an agreement where you get a deal, so that if you cooperate, you know, you have the expectation that the consequences will not

Nicole Sesta, RPK, RMK, CRR Officia, Cour, Reporter

be what you -- what they might otherwise be. Let's put it that way.

And so what happens is Mr. Hanst comes to the United States, and he has a meeting with government officials. There are like five people from the government there. I think there's three attorneys for Mr. Hanst and Mr. Hanst, and he sits there.

The evidence will show he made three absolute misrepresentations, falsehoods, to the government. He tells them, first, that Mr. Aguilar is the one that was responsible for the structure, and, in fact, the undisputed evidence is before he had anything to do with this.

He tells them that Mr. Ducrest never directed Vitol to pay him, to pay Vitol, to pay Zanza Oil and Lion Oil. The evidence will show that's false.

In fact, he tells the government, I'm unsure if Mr. Ducrest is even Javier Aguilar's boss. I'm unsure of that. Well, at that point in the interview the government plays Mr. Hanst a tape recording that Mr. Hanst had in a conversation with Enrique Pere in which Mr. Hanst tells Enrique Pere, every payment I make, I have to get the approval of Mr. Aguilar's boss.

And then once he's presented with that, he tells the government, I'm referring to Mr. Ducrest when I say that.

Now, what he did not tell them, as he's sitting

there in this room with his three lawyers, what he did not tell them is that those three lawyers were being paid for by Mr. Ducrest, that Mr. Ducrest had given him \$350,000, and he can come and ask for more, so that Mr. Hanst can come to the United States and point the finger at Mr. Aguilar.

But there are a few things that Mr. Hanst said in that interview which are incredibly important, and which have never been retracted. He meets with the government. He gives them this proffer. They then offer him a cooperation agreement. We'll get into that during the trial, as to the deal he got so that he would not be responsible for his own conduct.

The government had further meetings with him. They signed a cooperation agreement. What he told them was he has never retracted.

First, he told them, look, this industry, when you're dealing with foreign governments, consultants are common and, in fact, necessary. Right?

The government says, these are middlemen. There are legitimate consultants out there, and when you're dealing with a foreign government, you deal with people who know about that foreign government.

He told them that. He told them also that Vitol and its agents and these consultants are incredibly secretive.

They're guarding their secret sauce.

Opening - Price For example, Mr. Hanst, himself, was trying to get business in Ecuador, and he hired a consultant. He was trying to get business for Vitol. And he didn't want Vitol even to know who that was because in this world where you have traders and a company, they're competing with each other. (Continued on next page.)

Opening - Price

(continuing.)

MR. PRICE: And so you can even keep your sources. In this case, it wasn't the case for Mr. Aguilar, but it's incredibly secretive, in a sense that you just won't be able to know this. That's the way legitimate business works in this industry. In fact, Mr. Hanst had one meeting with Enrique Pere, in fact, Mr. Hanst had been visiting this area of the country, and Enrique Pere, one of the Pere brothers, was talking to them about getting paid for, you know, their consulting fees. And Mr. Hanst told the government, you know, Mr. Pere did not tell me who his sources were and I didn't expect him to because that's his trade secret and that's how you run legitimate business in this industry.

So that's going to be the evidence about these companies in Curacao and Vitol and who set that up and Mr. Aguilar was simply said use this, and he did, he did what he was told to do on that.

Now, let me talk a little more about -- we are still talking about Ecuador -- about Mr. Aguilar using the government says they're middlemen, but these consultants, Mr. Pere and his brother, Enrique.

First, you will hear the evidence that Vitol and Mr. Aguilar would need a consultant in Ecuador in order to get a contract with the government. There are a few reasons for this. One, Mr. Aguilar, wasn't experienced in Ecuador,

Jamit Anr. Stantor., RMK, CRK, RPR Officia. Cour. Reporter

Opening - Price

I mean, you don't know who to talk to, you don't know what kind of contracts they want. You know, you need someone to help you negotiate. In particular, Vitol was blacklisted in Ecuador, not for any illegal reasons, but Vitol had previous contracts with Ecuadorian government, there had been a contract dispute, you know, money hadn't been paid, this was all above board, and Ecuadorian government said, okay, we are not going to deal with Vitol anymore.

And so Mr. Aguilar comes in, and you can't even try to get a contract, because there's this -- this blacklist there. And he needed someone to help him deal with the government to help him get off that blacklist. By the way, the Pere brothers did that. They did that legitimately.

Mr. Aguilar certainly believed there was no money paid to the Ecuadorian government except the amount that was owed under that previous contract, right? I mean, that was resolved. The Pere brothers knew how to do that.

And the third reason is the fuel oil deal in this case was an incredibly complicated deal which the Ecuadorian government had done previously and the Pere brothers had been involved in previously, but Vitol and Mr. Aguilar had not.

You hear the government say there was this deal to avoid the bidding process.

Jamik Anr. Stantor., RMK, CRK, RPR Officia. Cour. Reporter

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Opening - Price

What the evidence will show is that the country of Ecuador -- the country, not these government officials -the country wanted to sell oil in state-to-state deals. And the way that would work -- and when I say it's complicated, I'm going to try to make it somewhat understandable -- is that the country of Ecuador would sell to a state the oil, and that state would end up assigning that oil to a private company, in this case, Vitol.

That structure had been used previously. structure was introduced to Mr. Aguilar by the Ecuadorian government and the Pere brothers. You know, this is a legitimate structure. You'll hear Ecuadorian government wanted that structure and one of the reasons why was they were desperately in need of cash. And under that structure, they get huge amounts of money upfront.

So in this case, for example, the foreign entity was Oman, and Oman through Vitol -- Vitol through Oman, then paid the Ecuadorian government \$300 million of profit. know, this is before the oil starts flowing. Then once the contract's in place, the oil starts flowing and then the money is continuously paid to Ecuador. It was about actually \$900 million altogether, I think. So they wanted that sort of cash advance.

And, again, you know, it's not illegal to pay a government for its oil, right? It's illegal to bribe

Opening - Price

someone. And this structure did not involve bribes. It was a legitimate structure. And Vitol, Mr. Aguilar, did not know how to deal with it, and so they hired the Pere brothers.

So as I said, Mr. Aguilar needed a consultant. You are going to see the evidence of the Pere brothers held themselves out as legitimate. They had these fancy offices in Florida. You will see Antonio Pere's resumé. He is American-educated. He has worked in government and private practice. He has gotten credible results and he would -- he would -- he would tout this publicly as a reason to hire him. You will see letters sent to oil executives saying, I'm Antonio Pere, I'm a consultant, I can do all these things for you, I know the right people to contact in the Ecuadorian government, I know how to draft contracts, you know, I know how to look for opportunities.

He represented himself as legitimate.

And so he was hired. And what resulted from that is months, months of negotiations between the Ecuadorian government, Oman, and Vitol. And this is all out there in the public. There is nothing hidden about these negotiations. In these negotiations, of course, thousands of pages, the contracts, they are like this big, right? There's also, in these negotiations, Oman and Ecuador had to enter into a coordinated strategic alliance. The Pere

Jamik Anr. Stantor., RMK, CRK, RPR Officia: Cour. Reporter

Opening - Price

brothers helped draft that alliance. They helped organize the meetings between Ecuador and Oman to do that. They drafted letters. They did what appeared to be the real work of consultants.

But as I said, Mr. Aguilar didn't know what was absolutely true, was there was this preexisting criminal enterprise where what the Pere brothers were doing were paying government officials, including Mr. Arias, who -- who I think you will hear from, and others.

However, Antonio Pere realized this criminal enterprise was going to be coming to an end, and he realized it in August of 2019. It was in that month that his offices in Miami were raided. And he knew there was years and years and years of evidence of his criminal conduct and the criminal conduct of these foreign officials.

Vitol was a tiny speck in that, by the way, in those years and years and years. He knew that his family was implicated in this. He also knew -- he'll take the stand -- he knew that there was no proof, no e-mails, neither in the private e-mail account or other, that Mr. Aguilar was told and knew that bribes were being used.

So what does he do after August 2019? Well, he talked to his brother, Enrique. You will see evidence about that relationship, and you will see that the evidence is that Enrique Pere does exactly what his brother Antonio

Opening - Price

tells him to do. He's been told by his brother, you do what I say without question.

He goes, he gets attorneys. His attorneys contact the government. And this same proffer, this same proffer happens that happened with Mr. Hanst. And it actually happens before Mr. Hanst, but basically: I'll get together with you, I'll tell you what I know, and I'll get a cooperation agreement for that, the hopes, of course, that there will be no or limited jail time, limited charges, that his family would not be affected.

And that's what happened. He met with them and he agreed to cooperate. And he knew that one thing that he could say, might make him valuable, is he could say, hey, you know, Vitol was involved in this and Mr. Aguilar, Mr. Aguilar knew.

Vitol, by the way, is a big, big catch for the government. You've never heard of it. Years ago, I had never heard of it. It is one of the biggest companies in the world. Its annual revenue last year was \$500 billion. I mean, this is something that's a real prize for a conviction.

But in that first -- in that proffer, Mr. Pere says, you know, here's what I know. What he says is the words he used according to the notes that were taken. He doesn't say I told Mr. Aguilar there were bribes. He says

Opening - Price

he was aware.

And none of the evidence prior to that date shows

Mr. Aguilar was aware.

What happens then is Mr. Pere and his brother agreed to do recorded conversations with various people who were involved in this, a lot with the government officials, right, who were being paid. And also some recordings with Mr. Aguilar. And you will hear those recordings. And the question is: Did -- was Mr. Aguilar told that, did he know that bribes were being paid?

When you look at -- there were a number of telephone recordings, and when you listen to those carefully, I think you will conclude -- Mr. Pere doesn't come out and say, I told you that they were being paid. It doesn't happen.

What happens is after a bunch of those phone calls, the government directs Mr. Pere to meet with Mr. Aguilar in a restaurant along with Enrique and they record it. And he's told, you know, I want you to do the best you can, but try to get Mr. Aguilar to admit you told him there were bribes.

And he meets with Mr. Aguilar. And you will see that tape. And when the time comes for Mr. Pere to get that standing evidence, he says, I think I told you that we paid bribes, I think. Which admits to the fact that it may

Opening - Price

never, ever, ever have happened.

So you will hear about the circumstances of that meeting and what happened and what was said in that meeting.

Based on the evidence for Ecuador is that there were legitimate business practices that were engaged in by Mr. Aguilar. He used the payment structure that his bosses told him to use and were agreed to without him. He hired what he thought were legitimate consultants. And now those people who did engage in criminality are coming here to say, oh, he's involved, too. And they're doing it so that they receive very little, you know, if any, jail time, so they will be considered cooperators, substantially assisting the government.

Now, a little more detail in Mexico. There was a long-term contract for ethane. Again, no one in the Mexican government at PEMEX who saw the bids for that, who had any influence on the bids for that, who selected that bid, no one received any payments from Mr. Aguilar. That is undisputed.

He engaged with two people at a company called PPI. The company located in Houston, incorporated under the state of Delaware, in an office park. And you go in and you see the American flag in that -- in that building.

Mr. Aguilar did not think and the evidence will show that

these were not government officials. It will show that

Opening - Price

these individuals that worked at PPI on this long-term contract did not know what other bids were, had no influence at all in who would win a bid for that oil. And as I said before, Vitol didn't even win the bid initially. I mean, they were asked by the government to come in and do that contract once the winner said, you know, I kind of made a bad deal, here.

So that's more detail about middlemen and cooperators and what the evidence will show. It's going to be a while before we get a chance to talk to you directly again.

And we thank you. It's unbelievable, the commitment that you guys have made, you know, to listen to this evidence for a period of time. It's -- as His Honor said -- it's essential to this system working. In this case, it's essential for Mr. Aguilar's vindication. And we're really thankful that you folks, you know, have -- are doing this. I was about to say agreed to do this, but I know that might be overstating it a bit. But I know that you are going to commit to it and you are going to pay attention and listen to the witnesses and look at the evidence and then give your judgment at the end.

And when the evidence is through, we get a chance to come back to talk to you again and we will ask you to do the right thing and that is to elect Mr. Aguilar as not

	Proceedings 85	
1	guilty.	
2	Thank you very much.	
3	THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Price.	
4	Now, Mr. Lax, does the government have a witness	
5	for us?	
6	MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, the United States wishes	
7	to call Nilsen Arias Sandoval.	
8	Mr. Arias, Your Honor, will testify in Spanish, so	
9	you may wish to swear in the interpreter.	
10	THE COURT: We will swear in the interpreter.	
11	Ladies and gentlemen, moving to that next building	
12	block, we have gone from the opening statements now to the	
13	production of evidence. The government goes first. It's	
14	calling its first witness who is in need of interpretive	
15	services.	
16	We will ask the deputy clerk to swear the	
17	interpreter.	
18	(Interpreters sworn.)	
19	THE INTERPRETER: I do.	
20	THE INTERPRETER: I do.	
21	THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: I ask you to please state	
22	your first and last name for the record.	
23	THE INTERPRETER: Good morning, Your Honor.	
24	Mercedes Avalos, AOUSC, federally-certified	
25	Spanish interpreter.	

	Proceedings 86
1	THE COURT: Good morning. And you will be
2	interpreting in Spanish?
3	THE INTERPRETER: Yes, Your Honor.
4	THE INTERPRETER: Good morning.
5	Evan Frierson, AOUSC federally-certified Spanish
6	interpreter.
7	THE COURT: And you will also be interpreting it
8	Spanish?
9	THE INTERPRETER: Yes, Your Honor.
10	THE COURT: All right. And we have the witness?
11	THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: He's coming up now, Judge.
12	(Witness takes the stand.)
13	THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Please raise your right
14	hand.
15	(Witness sworn.)
16	THE WITNESS: Yes, I swear.
17	THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Thank you.
18	Please state your first and last name and spell it
19	for the record.
20	THE WITNESS: Nilsen Giordano Arias Sandoval.
21	Nilsen, N-I-L-S-E-N, A-R-I-A-S, S-A-N-D-O-V-A-L.
22	THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Thank you very much.
23	MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, may I inquire?
24	THE COURT: You may. And just speak directly into
25	the mic. You may inquire.

Arias - Direct - Solomon 87 NILSEN ARIAS SANDOVAL, 1 2 called as a witness, having been first duly sworn/affirmed, was examined and testified as 3 4 follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY 5 MR. SOLOMON: 6 Good afternoon, Mr. Arias. 7 Q Good afternoon. 8 Α 9 Q I believe I heard as you were being sworn in that you 10 have two last names, sir; is that right? Correct. 11 Which of those two last names do you use most commonly, 12 Q 13 sir? 14 Α The first one, Arias. Mr. Arias, where were you born? 15 Q Okay. Quito, Ecuador. 16 Α And did you also grow up in Quito, sir? 17 Q 18 Α Yes. 19 What is your citizenship? Q 20 Α Ecuadorian. 21 Q And your native language? 22 Spanish. Α 23 Q Are you married, Mr. Arias? 24 Α Yes. 25 Q And can you tell us what her name is, please?

1		1
		Arias - Direct - Solomon 88
1	Α	Irma Romero Espinoza.
2	Q	Do you speak Spanish at home?
3	Α	Yes.
4	Q	How about work? Do you usually speak Spanish at work?
5	Α	Yes.
6	Q	Do you also speak some English?
7	Α	Yes.
8	Q	And can you read in English?
9	Α	Yes.
10	Q	Mr. Arias, before today, did you and I ever meet to
11	discuss your testimony?	
12	Α	Yes.
13	Q	Did we sometimes speak in English?
14	Α	Yes.
15	Q	Did we usually have an interpreter or a native Spanish
16	spea	aker there to assist us?
17	Α	Yes.
18	Q	Mr. Arias, have you ever testified under oath before?
19	Α	No.
20	Q	Are you nervous today?
21	Α	Yes.
22	Q	Have you ever talked for more than just a few hours in
23	Engl	ish?
24		MR. PACKARD: Objection. Leading.
25		THE COURT: Try to avoid that next time.

		0190
		Arias - Direct - Solomon 89
1	Q	What is the most amount of time you ever talked in
2	Engl	ish straight, sir?
3	Α	No more than two or three hours.
4	Q	Would you be comfortable today testifying in your
5	nati	ve language?
6	Α	Absolutely.
7	Q	Mr. Arias, are you familiar with the company,
8	Petr	oecuador?
9	Α	Yes.
10	Q	Okay. Can you please tell the jury what it is?
11	Α	Petroecuador is the Ecuadorian state-owned oil company.
12	Q	What do you mean when you say state-owned, sir?
13	Α	That it belongs to the state.
14	Q	And that is the government of Ecuador; is that right?
15	Α	Yes.
16	Q	Did you ever work for Petroecuador?
17	Α	Yes.
18	Q	All right. Can you tell us the dates, from when to
19	when	did you work at Petroecuador?
20	Α	Starting in April 2010 through June 2017.
21	Q	And when you left in June 2017, what was your title at
22	Petr	oecuador?
23	Α	International trade manager.
24	Q	For now, sir, just at a very high level, what were your
25	resp	onsibilities as international trade manager?

Arias - Direct - Solomon 90 1 Basically, to set up the purchase and sale of oil 2 and/or its derivatives. 3 What do you mean by derivatives? 4 Products that are derived from the refinery of oil, such as gas, diesel. 5 Did Petroecuador have rules against taking money from 6 Q 7 companies that did business with it? Yes. 8 Α 9 And what's an example of something that wouldn't be 10 allowed under those rules? 11 Gifts, trips, percentages from contracts. 12 What do you mean when you say percentages from 13 contracts, sir? 14 Contracts are commonly signed by two parties; the selling company and the buying company. 15 Forgive me, I just meant when you said percentage of 16 17 those contracts, it was prohibited from taking that while 18 you were working for Petroecuador, what do you mean by that? 19 Let's call it bribes. 20 When you were working for Petroecuador, was it 21 your understanding that it was also --22 MR. PACKARD: Objection, leading. 23 THE COURT: Yes, it's leading. 24 Sir, did you know that it was illegal to take bribes in Q 25 Ecuador?

	Arias - Direct - Solomon 91
1	A Yes.
2	Q Did you ever take bribes while you were an official at
3	Petroecuador?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Just one time?
6	A No. Several times.
7	Q Mr. Arias, I would like you to look around the room.
8	Do you see anyone in this courtroom who you took
9	bribes from?
10	A Yes.
11	Q Who is that?
12	A Mr. Javier Aguilar.
13	Q Can you please point to Mr. Aguilar and identify him by
14	an article of clothing?
15	THE COURT: Are you stipulating to the
16	identification?
17	MR. PACKARD: We do stipulate.
18	THE INTERPRETER: May the interpreter render the
19	utterance, Your Honor?
20	THE COURT: Yes.
21	THE INTERPRETER: Thank you.
22	A He is seated in the table in the back. Starting from
23	the right, he is the third person. And he is wearing a tie,
24	and I cannot clearly see the color from here, whether it's
25	red or burgundy.

ı	51.00
	Arias - Direct - Solomon 92
1	Q Mr. Arias, approximately how much money did the
2	defendant pay you in bribes?
3	A Around \$300,000.
4	Q Do you know the exact amount, sir?
5	A No. I am not sure.
6	Q What company did the defendant work for?
7	A Vitol.
8	Q And very briefly, what's Vitol?
9	MR. PACKARD: Objection. Foundation.
10	THE COURT: Why don't you lay a foundation for us
11	and the jury.
12	MR. SOLOMON: Happy to do that, Your Honor.
13	Q Are you company with the company, Vitol, sir?
14	A Yes.
15	Q And how are you familiar with that company?
16	A It is a company that works in trading. The purchase
17	and sale of oil and its derivatives.
18	Q And did you work with Vitol in your role in
19	Petroecuador?
20	A No.
21	Q You never worked with Vitol while you were at
22	Petroecuador?
23	MR. PACKARD: Objection. Asked and answered.
24	THE COURT: That one I am going to allow.
25	A Not directly.

Arias - Direct - Solomon 93 All right, we'll return to that in a little 1 Q 2 bit, sir. Do you know where the defendant worked? 3 4 THE INTERPRETER: For the interpreter, where the defendant? 5 MR. SOLOMON: 6 Worked. 7 THE INTERPRETER: Worked. MR. SOLOMON: Forgive me. 8 9 THE COURT: Do you have a timeframe? 10 MR. SOLOMON: I'm sorry? THE COURT: 11 Timeframe. Oh, forgive me. 12 MR. SOLOMON: 13 Q In 2015, do you know where the defendant worked, sir? Vitol, in Houston. 14 Α Do you know what his job was in Vitol? 15 Q He was a trader in charge of LPG gas. 16 17 A moment ago, you said that you took bribes from the 18 defendant. 19 Can you describe what you did in exchange for 20 those bribes? 21 To deliver specific information and to also set up the sale of fuel oil to benefit Vitol. 22 23 Q And when you say set up the sale of fuel oil to benefit 24 Vitol, what do you mean by that, sir? Are you referring to 25 a specific contract?

Arias - Direct - Solomon 94 Objection. 1 MR. PACKARD: Leading. 2 THE COURT: I am going to allow the question as 3 stated. 4 You may answer. THE INTERPRETER: Thank you, Judge. 5 Yes, the fuel oil contract. 6 Α 7 And when was that contract entered into? Q In December 2016. 8 Α 9 Q Was one of the parties to that contract Petroecuador? 10 The seller. Α 11 Were you involved in the negotiation of that contract, Mr. Arias? 12 13 Yes. I was the person in charge. 14 When you say you were in charge of the negotiations, can you explain that a little further, please? 15 I was the person in charge of leading the seller 16 and buyer in the negotiations of the contract. For example, 17 18 the price, the volume, the timeframe. 19 Were there other people at Petroecuador who were also 20 involved in the negotiations? 21 The team that I supervised. I have a few, for now, just big-picture questions about 22 23 the contract. 24 How much oil did Petroecuador agree to sell under 25 the fuel oil contract?

Arias - Direct - Solomon

- 1 A It was fuel oil, and it was 17,100,000 barrels split or
- 2 divided into 90 cargo.
- 3 Q And when you say "cargo," what does that mean?
- 4 A Each cargo is of approximately 190,000 barrels, under
- 5 | this contract.
- 6 Q Okay. Over how long of a period did Petroecuador agree
- 7 | to sell those 17.1 million barrels of oil?
- 8 A It was three cargos per month, which would total
- 9 approximately 36 months, if I'm not mistaken.
- 10 | Q Under the contract was there an amount of money that
- 11 | needed to be paid upfront?
- 12 MR. PACKARD: Objection. Leading.
- 13 | THE COURT: I am going to allow it.
- 14 A Yes. That was one of the conditions of the contract.
- 15 Q How much money, sir?
- 16 A Based on the condition of the contract, it was
- 17 | \$300 million as an advance -- as a loan.
- 18 | Q Now, the bribes that you said you received from the
- 19 defendant, were they tied to that specific contract?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 | Q Apart from the fuel oil contract, did you try to get
- 22 | any other business for Vitol?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 | Q Did you expect to receive bribes on those deals, too?
- 25 A Yes.

	0131
	Arias - Direct - Solomon 96
1	Q Did the defendant pay you the bribes himself?
2	A No.
3	Q How did he pay the bribes?
4	A Through a middleman.
5	Q Do you know why that was?
6	MR. PACKARD: Objection.
7	THE COURT: I'm going to sustain the objection.
8	Q Mr. Arias, did you also take bribes from other oil
9	trading companies?
10	A Yes.
11	Q Did you work with traders at those companies, too?
12	A Yes.
13	Q Did anyone at those companies pay you bribes directly?
14	A No.
15	Q How did they pay the bribes?
16	A We would use the same structure through middlemen and
17	through offshore accounts.
18	THE INTERPRETER: Interpreter correction.
19	A Through offshore companies. And it wasn't done
20	directly because it was risky for me.
21	MR. PACKARD: Objection. Move to strike.
22	THE COURT: There's no question. He answered the
23	question.
24	THE INTERPRETER: Your Honor, is the interpreter
25	instructed not to render the utterance?

Arias - Direct - Solomon 97 THE COURT: Yes. 1 2 If Mr. Lax has another question, you may ask. 3 Mr. Arias, have you been charged with a crime in the United States? 4 Α No. 5 You have not been charged with a crime in the United 6 Q 7 States? I apologize, yes. 8 9 Q And were those charges in connection with bribes you 10 accepted while working for Petroecuador? Yes. 11 Α What crime were you charged with? 12 Q 13 Α Money laundering conspiracy. 14 MR. SOLOMON: I will give you guys a moment to switch. 15 Sir, who did you conspire with? 16 17 With other public employees. 18 THE INTERPRETER: Interpreter needs clarification. And with other traders. 19 Α Was the defendant one of those traders? 20 Q 21 Α Yes. 22 Mr. Arias, have you accepted responsibility for your role in that crime? 23 24 Α Yes. 25 Q Did you plead guilty?

		8799
		Arias - Direct - Solomon 98
1	Α	Yes.
2	Q	Why did you plead guilty?
3	Α	Because I am responsible for having received
4	comm	nissions, bribes.
5	Q	Mr. Arias, can you describe the geography of Ecuador?
6	Α	The geography or where it's located?
7	Q	At a very high level, where is it located, sir, who
8	boar	rders, those kind of things?
9	Α	It's a country located in South America. It's northern
10	bord	der is shared with Columbia. It's southern border with
11	Peru	u. And to the west, the Pacific Ocean.
12	Q	Do you know what Ecuador's largest export is?
13	Α	Yes. 0il.
14	Q	Do you know what its second largest export is?
15	Α	There's competition among them. Shrimp, bananas, and
16	flow	vers.
17	Q	Does Ecuador produce its own oil?
18	Α	Yes.
19	Q	Who owns the rights to Ecuador's oil?
20	Α	The Ecuadorian government.
21	Q	Does Ecuador produce more oil than it uses as a
22	coun	ntry?
23	Α	Yes.
24	Q	What does it do with the rest?
25	Α	It exports it.

```
Arias - Direct - Solomon
                                                                   99
         You said a minute ago that Ecuador is bordered on one
1
    Q
 2
    side by the Pacific Ocean.
 3
               When Ecuador sells its oil, does it send it out of
    the country on ships?
 4
    Α
         Yes.
 5
          Is there a part of Ecuador's government that's
 6
     Q
 7
    responsible for selling its oil?
8
    Α
          Yes.
               (Continued on the following page.)
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

Arias - direct - Solomon 100 BY MR. SOLOMON: (Continuing) 1 2 And what's that part of the government called? 3 The company Petroecuador and the government agency is 4 called the International Trade Management. 5 Q Does Ecuador also import some oil products? Α Yes. 6 7 Q Can you offer some examples of what it might export, sir? 8 It exports two types of crude oil. Oil and fuel oil, 9 basically. 10 Q And, I'm sorry, I meant to ask you what it imports, an example of that. 11 12 Diesel, gasoline and LPG. Α 13 Q That last acronym, LPG, what does that mean? 14 Liquid petroleum gas which is the combination of propane 15 and butane. 16 For those of us who aren't in this business, what is LPG 17 used for ordinarily? 18 Α In Ecuador, more than 95 percent of the time is for 19 cooking in homes. 20 Q Is Petroecuador also responsible for buying oil products 21 on behalf of the country? 22 Α Yes. 23 Q When you were working for Petroecuador, did you consider 24 yourself a government official?

25 A Yes.

```
Arias - direct - Solomon
                                                                  101
         Is that how your family and friends would have described
1
    Q
 2
    you as well?
 3
              MR. PACKARD: Objection.
 4
               THE COURT: I'm going to allow it.
    Α
         Yes.
 5
         What's the capital of Ecuador?
 6
    Q
7
    Α
         Quito.
8
    Q
         Does Petroecuador have a headquarters?
9
    Α
         Yes.
         Where is it located?
10
    Q
11
    Α
         In Quito.
12
         Is there an Ecuadorian flag that hangs outside of the
    Q
13
    building?
14
         Yes, a flag of the Republic and the company's flag.
15
         And on the inside of the offices, are there pictures of
16
    the President hanging on the wall?
17
                           Meaning the President of Ecuador?
               THE COURT:
18
               MR. SOLOMON: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you.
19
         Yes, there are.
    Α
         When Petroecuador sells oil products, what does it do
20
    Q
21
    with the profit?
22
         They are delivered to the Ministry of Finance.
    Α
23
    Q
         Is the Ministry of Finance also a part of the Ecuadorian
24
    government?
25
    Α
         Yes.
```

	Arias - direct - Solomon 102
1	Q When Petroecuador buys oil products, where does the money
2	to buy those products come from?
3	A From the Ministry of Finance.
4	Q Does Petroecuador have a CEO or someone who holds a
5	similar title?
6	A Yes, yes, the CEO.
7	Q Okay. And for convenience today, can I call him a CEO so
8	I don't ruin that word every time I try to say it?
9	A Yes.
10	Q Does the CEO have to report to anyone?
11	A Yes.
12	Q Who is that?
13	A He reports to the board of the company.
14	Q And who hires the CEO of Petroecuador?
15	A The board.
16	Q Does anyone else in the Ecuadorian government have a say
17	in who becomes the CEO of Petroecuador?
18	MR. PACKARD: Objection. Foundation.
19	THE COURT: Are you familiar with how CEOs of the
20	company are chosen?
21	THE WITNESS: Yes.
22	Q Okay. And how is that?
23	A It is a choice that's made by the board but there is
24	always a representative of the President of the Republic on
25	the board and the President of the Republic has to agree.

```
Arias - direct - Solomon
                                                                   103
          Can the President of the Republic fire the CEO of
1
    Q
 2
    Petroecuador?
 3
    Α
          Yes.
          Has that happened before?
 4
    Q
          On multiple occasions.
 5
    Α
 6
    Q
          Can he fire you?
 7
          He could have.
    Α
8
          In Petroecuador's organizational chart, how many people
    were between you and the CEO?
9
    Α
          No one else.
10
          How many people reported to you?
11
    Q
12
    Α
          More than a hundred people.
               (Continued on next page.)
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

MR. LAX: Your Honor, I see that it's just after 1:00, and I understand that your normal practice is to break then and this is a logical place if you wish.

THE COURT: You've met both conditions, Mr. Solomon, so we shall.

Ladies and gentlemen, we are -- that's good news. The good news is that we're taking our luncheon break. The bad news is that nobody's buying you lunch. I believe a lot of things at times don't go exactly the way we planned, and you found that out already, and one of the things is that the cafeteria seems to be open and shut.

William, how are we doing today, is the cafeteria open?

THE CLERK: It is open.

THE COURT: So there's a cafeteria in the building that's available for your use. There are also restaurants starting around various parts of Brooklyn Heights. You're welcome to use them as well if you want to brave the chillier weather, but we are taking a break for lunch and whether you decide to eat your lunch here in the cafeteria, bring your lunch the next time or dart out to one of these other places, certain rules do apply. Some of them you've already heard.

It's the same rule as when you go back for the recess break during the session and that is you're not to discuss this case amongst yourselves or with anyone else you

may run into. You're to continue to keep an open mind.

We are also on what I call radio silence. I know it's an old expression from the days of radio and it goes back to, I guess, World War II, somewhere along the lines, which means we're not talking to anybody about being here. We're not communicating about anything that you see or hear or do in the courthouse. You are not to communicate even the fact that you're coming here.

And what do I mean by communicate? Well, the obvious: The telephone, a pen, whether it's smoke signals, Instagram, Facebook, all the other million and one social media platforms that I have absolutely no knowledge about other than what my kids tell me. You're not to -- you're free to use them but you're not free to reference the trial and the personalities, any of the issues, again, the fact that you're coming to Brooklyn and serving on a jury.

This is also a homework-free zone. All of the work that we're going to ask you to do you are going to do right here in the courtroom. There's no outside research. There's no independent research. No extra credit. In fact, there are penalties if you violate that rule. So that there is no either electronic methods of Googling and the like or other search engines or the old fashioned ones, actually picking something up with paper and reading through them, they are all off limits to you.

On the occasion that it is possible that there may be some coverage of the goings on in the courtroom in some form of media, again, the old fashioned kind, radio, TV and newspapers or the electronic kinds that may come your way, you are to totally disregard them whether that happens overnight or during the course of your lunch break.

Now, with that, with all those admonitions, you're still supposed to go out and have a good lunch. We're going to ask you -- I know we've run a little later so we're going to ask you to come back.

William, we're still reporting to the Central Jury Room?

THE CLERK: Yes.

THE COURT: In other words, not back here, not on this floor, but report back to the Central Jury Room at around 2:15 or so and we'll try to get started between 2:15 and 2:30 as close as we can.

On behalf of not only me and the court staff, but on behalf of the parties, the lawyers in the case, we all thank you for your patience, for your attention and for your sacrifice. We all really mean that we appreciate that. We understand that you're here by sacrifice and we thank you and we congratulate you on your citizenship and on your individual commitments to the rules of law.

So with that, you are discharged for lunch and we

107 will see you around 2:15. 1 2 (Jury exits.) 3 THE COURT: Okay. The witness may step down and go 4 to lunch as well and the interpreters too. Now, our normal practice, and I'll listen to 5 counsel, I know we have a much larger contingency and the 6 7 defense have a printer and the like here, normally, what we do 8 is we say we're going to lock up the courtroom during lunch 9 and if you need something, take it with you and then William 10 will reopen the courtroom. 11 Is that the plan, Mr. Koffmann? Are you going to be 12 staying here? 13 MR. KOFFMANN: Your Honor, the court staff was 14 actually kind enough to give us a room just next door. 15 THE COURT: I wasn't sure we were able to do it. 16 MR. KOFFMANN: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you for that 17 and I think that we'll be able to use that room and won't 18 present any challenges. 19 THE COURT: All right. So then we'll follow the 20 usual rule which is you're free to leave everything except the 21 things that you need. William will lock the courtroom and 22 open it when we get close to restart so, therefore, if there's 23 something you think you need, make sure you take it. 24 MR. KOFFMANN: We will, Your Honor. 25 THE COURT: Then we'll see you all between as I say

```
108
1
    2:15 and 2:30.
 2
              MR. SOLOMON: One thing, Your Honor, this is
 3
                  I want to apologize for violating your first
    Mr. Solomon.
    rule which was not to introduce myself when I began examining
 4
    the witness. So for the record, this is Mr. Solomon.
 5
 6
               THE COURT: Mr. Villanueva pulled your fat out of
 7
    the fire.
8
              MR. SOLOMON: Thank you too, Mr. Villanueva.
               THE CLERK: You're welcome.
9
               THE COURT: He'll continue do that. And I'll
10
11
    continue to try to catch up.
12
              All right. See you at 2:15.
13
               (Luncheon recess.)
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

109 AFTERNOON SESSION 1 2 (In open court; outside the presence of the jury.) 3 Court is back in session. Counsel for THE CLERK: 4 both sides are present including the defendant. 5 THE COURT: Are we ready to begin? MR. LAX: We are, Judge. 6 7 THE COURT: Okay. Bring the jury in. 8 MR. LAX: Your Honor, Jonathan Lax for the 9 government. Should we bring in the witness on the stand now? 10 THE COURT: Yes, start working on that. We'll try to save as much time as we can. I'm going to refer all of you 11 12 at the table as "Mr. Lax." 13 MR. LAX: My ego is big enough. 14 (The witness, NILSEN ARIAS SANDOVAL, having been previously sworn, resumed the stand.) 15 16 (Jury enters.) 17 THE COURT: Be seated, please. 18 Counsel will stipulate that the jury is present and 19 properly seated? 20 MR. LAX: Yes, Your Honor. 21 MR. KOFFMANN: We do, Your Honor. 22 THE COURT: Thank you, Counsel. 23 Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, welcome back. 24 hope you had a chance to enjoy a nice lunch. We are ready to 25 resume.

	Arias - direct - Solomon 110
1	You will remember when we broke, that we were on the
2	government's direct examination by Mr. Solomon. Mr. Arias is
3	the witness.
4	Mr. Arias, I remind you you remain under oath and
5	Mr. Solomon may continue his examination.
6	MR. SOLOMON: Thank you, Your Honor.
7	DIRECT EXAMINATION (Continued)
8	BY MR. SOLOMON:
9	Q Good afternoon, Mr. Arias.
10	A Good afternoon.
11	Q When we left off before the break, we were talking about
12	Petroecuador's organizational structure and I'm going to ask
13	you some additional questions about Petroecuador.
14	A Okay.
15	Q Earlier, you testified that sometimes Petroecuador is the
16	seller and sometimes it's the buyer. Is that right?
17	A Correct.
18	Q When Petroecuador is the seller, who are its customers?
19	A Buyers who are previously registered on a list of
20	providers.
21	Q Okay. Does Petroecuador sell to private companies?
22	A Yes.
23	Q Does it sell to other governments?
24	A Also.
25	Q Does Petroecuador sell oil to the United States?

i		
		Arias - direct - Solomon 111
1	Α	Yes.
2	Q	Is there a term for government customers of Petroecuador?
3	Α	Yes.
4	Q .	And what is that?
5	Α	Public companies or state-owned entities.
6	Q	Do all Petroecuador's customers have to register?
7	Α .	All of them.
8	Q	Okay. Can you, Mr. Arias, please describe at a very high
9	level	what the registration process looks like?
10	Α	Certain information must be delivered. Among that
11	infor	mation is the makeup of the company but aside from that,
12	also	financial information showing the financial capability of
13	the c	ompany, proof of having done similar business with other
14	compa	nies in the world, and a statement of not having failed
15	in si	milar businesses with other companies.
16	Q	Now, for Petroecuador's state-owned customers, are there
17	other	requirements of the registration process?
18	Α .	Aside from those, yes.
19	Q .	And what are those, sir?
20	Α .	A certification from the company from which the
21		THE INTERPRETER: Interpreter correction: "A
22	certi	fication from the country."
23	Α	from which the company comes certifying that they are
24	from	that country.
25	Q	Do you have a role personally in the registration
	i	

	Arias - direct - Solomon 112
1	process?
2	A Yes.
3	Q And what's your role?
4	A After receiving the information, recommend that the
5	company be registered or not.
6	Q Okay. And who do you make that recommendation to,
7	Mr. Arias?
8	A To the CEO.
9	Q And do you did you have the authority to recommend
10	against the registration of a company?
11	A Yes.
12	Q Once a company is registered, is it then able to buy
13	products from Petroecuador?
14	A Yes.
15	Q Can you describe the process or processes for buying oil
16	from Petroecuador?
17	THE COURT: I assume you mean for a company properly
18	registered?
19	MR. SOLOMON: That's correct, Your Honor. Thank
20	you.
21	A After the company was registered, we, as a state-owned
22	entity, what we would do would be to hold contests. These
23	contests are called tenders which could be short term or long
24	term. And another would be direct negotiation.
25	Q 0kay.

	Arias - direct - Solomon 113
1	THE INTERPRETER: The interpreter would like to
2	correct in a previous answer the word "contest" to "bidding."
3	Q Okay. So let's begin there.
4	You first described, I think you said a tender. Is
5	that correct, sir?
6	A Yes.
7	Q What's a tender?
8	A The tender is, well, first they're invited and it's the
9	composition, the composition of general terms that must be
10	accepted.
11	Q And when you say they're invited, who is invited to
12	participate in Petroecuador's tenders?
13	A Only registered companies, previously registered.
14	Q And are all the registered companies invited to
15	participate in tenders?
16	A Yes.
17	Q How does a tender work generally?
18	A On the invitation, the invitation includes the type of
19	product and the amount and the companies that are invited
20	simply have to accept the conditions and deliver the best
21	price.
22	Q Is there
23	THE INTERPRETER: Interpreter correction: "Accept
24	terms and conditions and delivery at the best price."
25	Q When you say deliver the best price, what do you mean?

Arias - direct - Solomon

114

- 1 A The offers that are made are not public offers. They're
- 2 | sealed. And at the time of opening the envelopes, the best
- 3 price is simply accepted.
- 4 Q In a tender, is there any type of negotiation during that
- 5 process?
- 6 A No.
- 7 | Q And how are the results announced from tenders?
- 8 A At that moment, live with the participation of the press
- 9 even.
- 10 | Q What was your role as international trade manager in the
- 11 | tender process?
- 12 A Organize the whole process from the beginning and to
- 13 receive the offers along with a team.
- 14 | Q Did you have any discretion in selecting the winner?
- 15 A No.
- 16 Q Okay. You described another process as a direct
- 17 | negotiation. Did I get that right?
- 18 A Correct.
- 19 | Q Can you explain what you mean by that term?
- 20 A It's the negotiation of terms and conditions that can
- 21 | vary with the counterpart.
- 22 | Q In general, are all registered companies able to
- 23 | participate in direct negotiations?
- 24 A Yes and no.
- 25 | Q Please explain.

	8816
	Arias - direct - Solomon 115
1	A On most occasions most of the time, we negotiate with
2	state-owned entities because a certain type of certification
3	was necessary for that. We did not negotiate with private
4	companies.
5	Q The certification for negotiations with state-owned
6	entities, what do you call that?
7	A A strategic alliance.
8	Q All right. Can you please explain for the jury what a
9	strategic alliance is?
10	A It's something that is permitted under Ecuadorian law and
11	it allows two state-owned entities to negotiate directly once
12	the board approves it.
13	Q And do you know what law that was, sir?
14	A The law of strategic alliances.
15	Q Do you know the policy behind that law, sir?
16	A In general, the idea is that
17	MR. PACKARD: Objection. Foundation. Objection,
18	foundation.
19	THE COURT: I'm going to allow it. He's the manager
20	of the operation.
21	A In general, the idea is that the best outcome will be
22	negotiated for both countries.
23	Q And why is that, sir?
24	A Because of the price and the benefit to the parties.

CMH OCR RDR FCRR

Now, the certification that's required for strategic

25

	Arias - direct - Solomon 116
1	alliances, who does that certification?
2	A Petroecuador's legal department with the approval of the
3	board.
4	Q And do you in your role did you in your role as
5	international trade manager have any involvement in that
6	certification process?
7	A Doing follow up.
8	Q What do you mean by that?
9	A Getting in contact with the company that is going to be
10	certified, getting the information from them and following up
11	with the board.
12	THE INTERPRETER: The interpreter would like to
13	correct: "And delivering the information to the legal
14	department."
15	Q Okay. Earlier when you were describing the tender
16	process, you said that everyone who is registered is invited
17	to participate. Is that right?
18	A Yes.
19	Q When Petroecuador held direct negotiations, were all
20	registered parties invited to participate in those as well?
21	THE COURT: Mr. Solomon, maybe I'm misunderstanding,
22	but I think you identified two methods of certification. Are
23	you referencing one certified list as opposed to another?
24	MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, I'm not speaking about the
25	certification process, but I'm asking the witness about the

Arias - direct - Solomon two types of participating in buying options with the Ecuadorian government. So I believe Mr. Arias said there were One is in a public tender and the other is through what he called direct negotiations and I'm comparing some of the processes for those, if that makes sense. THE COURT: I understood the question as asking who can participate in the direct negotiation, is it off of one list as opposed to the other. That's the thrust of what I thought the question is. (Continued on next page.)

Arias - Direct - Solomon 118 BY MR. SOLOMON: (Continuing) 1 Is it one list as opposed to the other? 2 THE COURT: 3 That's what I thought the question was. 4 MR. SOLOMON: Let me try and ask a better question, Your Honor. 5 THE COURT: Okay. 6 BY MR. SOLOMON: 7 8 Who was invited to participate in the direct 9 negotiations? 10 Α Mostly public companies. 11 Q Is more than one company at a time invited to participate in direct negotiations? 12 13 Α No. Who at Petroecuador decides who to negotiate with? 14 The international trade manager, in this case myself, or 15 Α the board. 16 Okay. Who usually selected who to negotiate with, you or 17 18 the board? 19 Me. Α Who at Petroecuador was responsible for the actual 20 21 negotiations? 22 Α Me. 23 Q And at the end of those negotiations, did you have to 24 make a recommendation one way or another? 25 Yes, to the CEO. Α

	8820
	Arias - Direct - Solomon 119
1	Q And was the CEO the final decisionmaker on whether to
2	accept a directly negotiated deal?
3	A No, the board's approval was needed.
4	Q What was your involvement in seeking the board's
5	approval?
6	A I would present the negotiation to the board.
7	Q What do you mean by "present the negotiation"?
8	A During a board meeting, I would give a debriefing on the
9	characteristics of the negotiation.
10	Q Okay. Did you have the authority to recommend against
11	taking against approving a deal?
12	THE INTERPRETER: Can you please repeat the question
13	for the interpreter?
14	BY MR. SOLOMON:
15	Q Did you have authority to recommend against approving a
16	deal?
17	A Yes.
18	Q Earlier, you were talking about the fuel oil contract.
19	Did you recommend that deal to the board, sir?
20	A Yes.
21	Q Outside of Petroecuador, was there anyone else in
22	government who was responsible for managing the country's
23	energy sector?
24	A The Ministry of Hydrocarbons.
	la

And what is -- well, first of all, what's a hydrocarbon?

25

Arias - Direct - Solomon

- 1 A It is an organic product that is underground such as gas,
- 2 oil, and carbon.
- 3 Q And what is the difference between what the Ministry of
- 4 | Hydrocarbons did and Petroecuador?
- 5 A Petroecuador handles the operations, and the Ministry of
- 6 Hydrocarbons governs the regulations and the law.
- 7 Q Was there anyone in government who was responsible for
- 8 overseeing both of those agencies?
- 9 A During that administration, the vice president of the
- 10 republic was appointed.
- 11 | Q And when you say "that administration," what time period
- 12 | are you talking about, sir?
- 13 A Under the president of Rafael Correa, it was starting in
- 14 | around 2014 until 2017, and it was a vice president who was
- 15 responsible for this at the time.
- 16 Q And what was his or her name, vice president?
- 17 A It escapes me. Well, it was Vice President Jorge Glas.
- 18 Q Sorry, I missed that last one. I got Jorge. What was
- 19 the second name?
- 20 THE INTERPRETER: May the interpreter please
- 21 | confirm?
- MR. SOLOMON: Yes.
- 23 A Jorge Glas.
- 24 | Q Okay. In your role as international trade manager, did
- 25 | you have to meet with the vice president?

120

	Arias - Direct - Solomon 121
1	A Myself and my team, at least once a month.
2	MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, may I approach the witness
3	with a few exhibits we're going to work through?
4	THE COURT: Yes, you may.
5	BY MR. SOLOMON:
6	Q Okay. Sir, you have in front of you a binder with a
7	number of folders, and
8	MR. PACKARD: I'm sorry. Your Honor, can we ask the
9	government, whatever exhibit is shown to the witness, to put
10	on the screens?
11	THE COURT: Whatever the numbers are.
12	MR. PACKARD: Just to make it available as they go
13	to show on the screens?
14	MR. SOLOMON: Yeah, I'm happy to, just for the
15	witness for now.
16	So what I was hoping to do, Mr. Packard, was to show
17	him all of these at once, have them admitted, and then do the
18	photos, or we can do it one at a time.
19	MR. PACKARD: I'd like to see them.
20	MR. SOLOMON: Okay.
21	MR. PACKARD: Thank you.
22	MR. SOLOMON: So, Ms. Jefferson, if you could put up
23	GX 1, please.
24	THE COURT: Just to the witness and counsel.
25	BY MR. SOLOMON:

Arias - Direct - Solomon 122 Mr. Arias, you also have in front of you a paper copy of 1 Q 2 these, as well. 3 Mr. Arias, can you see the exhibit in front of you? 4 Α Yes. Do you recognize the person in the photo that's been 5 marked for identification as Government Exhibit 1? 6 7 Α Yes. Q Who is it? 8 9 Α Mr. Javier Aguilar. 10 MR. SOLOMON: Ms. Jefferson, can you please put up Government's Exhibit 2. 11 BY MR. SOLOMON: 12 13 Mr. Arias, do you recognize the person shown in what's been marked for identification as Government Exhibit 2? 14 Α Yes. 15 Government Exhibit Number 3 for identification, only, 16 17 please. 18 Mr. Arias, do you recognize the person in Government Exhibit Number 3? 19 20 Α No. No. excuse me. No. I don't. Yes. 21 Government Exhibit Number 4, please. 22 Do you recognize the person in Government Exhibit Number 4? 23 24 Α No. 25 Government Exhibit 5, please. Q

```
Arias - Direct - Solomon
                                                                  123
               Do you recognize the individual in Government
1
    Exhibit Number 5?
 2
         Yes.
 3
    Α
         Who is that?
 4
    Q
    Α
         Enrique Pere.
 5
         Can we please show Government Exhibit Number 6.
    Q
6
7
               Do you recognize the individual in Government
8
    Exhibit Number 6?
9
    Α
         No.
               THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Hold on one second.
10
                                                             I'm
11
    sorry.
12
               MR. SOLOMON: Yes.
13
               (Pause.)
14
               THE COURT: You may proceed.
    BY MR. SOLOMON:
15
16
         Sir, do you have Government Exhibit Number 6 in front of
17
    you?
         Yes.
18
    Α
19
         Do you recognize that individual?
         No.
20
    Α
         Can we pull up Government Exhibit Number 7.
21
22
               Do you recognize that individual?
23
    Α
         No.
         Government Exhibit Number 8. Do you recognize that
24
    individual?
25
```

Arias - Direct - Solomon 124 Yes. 1 Α Who is that? 2 That's me. 3 Α Government Exhibit Number 9. I just have a couple more, 4 Q 5 sir. Do you recognize that individual? 6 No. 7 Α 8 Government Exhibit Number 10. Do you recognize that individual? 9 Yes. 10 Α Q Who is that? 11 12 Nicolas Naranjo. 13 Q Government Exhibit Number 11. Do you recognize that person, sir? 14 15 Α No. 16 Government Exhibit Number 12. Do you recognize that person? 17 18 Α Yes. Who is that? 19 Q Xavier Rodriguez. 20 Α Government Exhibit Number 13. Do you recognize that 21 Q 22 person? Yes. 23 Α Who is that? 24 Q 25 Mike Loya. Α

	Arias - Direct - Solomon 125
1	Q Government Exhibit Number 14. Do you recognize that
2	person?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Who is that?
5	A Mauricio Samaniego.
6	THE INTERPRETER: Interpreter correction.
7	A Samaniego.
8	Q Government Exhibit Number 15. Do you recognize that
9	person?
10	A Yes.
11	Q Who is that?
12	A Jose Agusto Briones.
13	Q And last but not least, Government Exhibit 16.
14	Do you recognize that person?
15	A No.
16	MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, the witness, having
17	identified Government Exhibits 1, 2, 5, 8, 10, 12, 13, 14, and
18	15, we wish to move those exhibits into evidence.
19	THE COURT: Any objection?
20	MR. PACKARD: No objection as to any, but for
21	Exhibit 1 under 403, quality of picture.
22	MR. SOLOMON: Do you wish that I respond, Your
23	Honor?
24	THE COURT: Yes, sure.
25	MR. SOLOMON: The witness was able to identify

Arias - Direct - Solomon 126 Government Exhibit 1 as the defendant. 1 2 THE COURT: I don't see a problem. That objection is overruled. The other exhibits are all received in evidence 3 4 without objection. 5 (Government's Exhibits 1, 2, 5, 8, 10, 12, 13, 14, and 15, were received in evidence.) 6 7 BY MR. SOLOMON: Mr. Arias, we will walk through these in some more 8 9 detail, but I would like to publish them one by one, the ones 10 admitted in evidence, and have you identify them one more 11 time. 12 MR. SOLOMON: Ms. Jefferson, can you please show 13 Government's Exhibit 1. 14 BY MR. SOLOMON: Mr. Arias, when you see that person up on the screen, can 15 Q you identify who that person is? 16 17 Α Mr. Javier Aguilar. 18 Q Government Exhibit 2, who is that? 19 Mr. Antonio Pere. Α 20 MR. SOLOMON: Government Exhibit 5, Ms. Jefferson. 21 BY MR. SOLOMON: 22 Q Who is that? 23 Α Mr. Enrique Pere. 24 Q Government Exhibit 8, who is that?

25

Α

Nilsen Arias.

Arias - Direct - Solomon 127 Q That's you, sir? 1 2 Yes. Α Government Exhibit 10. Who is that? 3 Q 4 Α Mr. Nicolas Naranjo. Now, we've not heard anything about Mr. Naranjo. Who is 5 Mr. Naranjo? 6 7 Mr. Naranjo was somebody that worked as a middleman between Antonio Pere and companies. 8 9 THE INTERPRETER: May the interpreter clarify? 10 Interpreter correction. The government and companies. 11 And Government Exhibit 12. Who is that, sir? 12 Q 13 Α Mr. Xavier Rodriguez. 14 Q And who is Xavier Rodriguez? A public official who worked in the Ministry of 15 Α Hydrocarbons. 16 And Ministry of Hydrocarbons in Ecuador, sir? 17 Q 18 Α Yes. All right. Government Exhibit 13, who is that? 19 Q 20 Mr. Mike Loya. Α 21 Q Who is Mike Loya? Vitol's CEO. 22 Α 23 Q Okay. Global CEO or a CEO of a particular part of Vitol? 24 Α I met him as a CEO in Houston. 25 Q Government Exhibit 14, who is that?

		Arias - Direct - Solomon 128
1	Α	Mr. Mauricio Samaniego.
2	Q	And who is he?
3	Α	He was the international trade manager from 2008 until I
4	believe 2022, or 2023.	
5	Q	And, finally, Government Exhibit 15. Who is that?
6	Α	Jose Augusto Briones.
7	Q	And who is Mr. Briones?
8	Α	He was the advisor to the hydro hydrocarbon minister,
9	and he was also the minister of hydrocarbons. He is now	
10	deceased.	
11	Q	Okay. Mr. Arias, all the photos that you looked at that
12	we did not pull up, did you know who any of them were?	
13	Α	I have no idea.
14	Q	Can we pull up Government Exhibit 1, please?
15		All right. Mr. Arias, we're going to talk in
16	greater detail about a few of those photos.	
17		When did you meet the defendant?
18	Α	Approximately in the year 2014, '13 or '14.
19	Q	And where did you meet him?
20	Α	At Petroecuador, in my office.
21	Q	Okay. Which office of Petroecuador was that, sir?
22	Α	The Office of the International Trade Manager.
23	Q	Where is that located, sir?
24	Α	In Quito, in the main building.
25	Q	In that initial meeting with the defendant, was anyone

Arias - Direct - Solomon 129 else there? 1 2 I don't think so. 3 In your role as international trade manager, was it 4 common to meet directly with traders? 5 Α Yes. Do you know how the defendant got that meeting with you, 6 Q sir? 7 8 Α It must have been maybe through my --9 MR. PACKARD: Objection, speculation. 10 THE COURT: Sustained. BY MR. SOLOMON: 11 12 When traders met with you, sir, how did they go about 13 arranging a meeting? MR. PACKARD: Objection, speculation. 14 THE COURT: I'm going to allow him, if he knows. 15 16 How did that come about? 17 Normally through my assistant, or otherwise they would 18 ask me directly. 19 And how would they ask you directly? Q 20 An email or phone call. Α You described Vitol earlier as a trading company; is that 21 Q right, sir? 22 23 Α Correct. 24 Do you know what the three biggest trading companies in 25 the world are?

Arias - Direct - Solomon 130 Time period? 1 THE COURT: 2 MR. SOLOMON: In 2016. 3 Α Yes. 4 Q What were they, sir? Α Glencore, Vitol, Trafigura. 5 Q Were Glencore, Vitol, and Trafigura important clients to 6 7 Petroecuador? Yes. 8 9 And if a trader at one of those companies tried to 10 schedule a meeting with you, was it your normal practice to 11 take such a meeting, sir? Yes. 12 13 Do you remember what you talked about with Mr. Aguilar in 14 that first meeting? 15 Α Yes. What did you talk about? 16 He was interested in Vitol participating in the tenders, 17 18 but they couldn't because they were on a blacklist, so he 19 talked to me about getting them off the blacklist. 20 Q Okay. What is a blacklist? 21 It's a list on which the companies that have been, you 22 could say, disqualified are put on that list because they have 23 not -- they have breached some of the requirements --24 Q Do you know why Vitol --25 -- they have breached all the contracts.

Arias - Direct - Solomon 131 Do you know why Vitol was on the blacklist at that time? 1 Q 2 I know that they had breached a contract from before, from the year 2008, approximately. 3 Do you know what Vitol had to do if it wanted off of 4 Q Petroecuador's blacklist? 5 Yes. It was simple, to pay a fine. 6 Α 7 Anything other than that? Q No. 8 Α 9 Q Did you tell the defendant that? 10 Α Yes. Did you discuss anything else with the defendant at that 11 12 time, sir? 13 Α No. 14 So I believe you said this is around 2014; is that right, 15 sir? Yes. 16 Α Earlier we talked about a product called liquefied 17 18 petroleum gas, or LPG. 19 At that time did Petroecuador have a supplier of LPG? 20 21 Α Yes. 22 Who was that? Q Petredec. 23 Α Tell us about Petredec for LPG at that time, sir. 24 Q 25 It's a contract that Petredec had won through a bidding

	Arias - Direct - Solomon 132	
1	process.	
2	Q What was the term of that contract?	
3	A Well, it's by volume, so approximately two-and-a-half	
4	years.	
5	Q Earlier you described Mr. Aguilar as a trader, sir; is	
6	that right?	
7	A Correct.	
8	Q Do you know whether he specialized in any particular	
9	kinds of products?	
10	A Yes.	
11	Q What products?	
12	A LPG.	
13	Q Did you ever discuss potential LPG business with the	
14	defendant?	
15	A Yes.	
16	Q Okay. Tell us about those discussions.	
17	A At that time he was interested in the company	
18	participating in LPG in the year 2014, but they couldn't.	
19	Q And why couldn't they?	
20	A Because they were on the blacklist.	
21	MR. SOLOMON: Before I move on, I'd like to take a	
22	printout of Government Exhibit 1, and for the Court, I have	
23	here a board which I'm going to affix Government Exhibit 1 to	
24	as a demonstrative for the jury.	
25		

	Arias - Direct - Solomon 133
1	MR. SOLOMON: Ms. Jefferson, can you please pull up
2	Government Exhibit 12, please.
3	BY MR. SOLOMON:
4	Q A moment ago you identified the person in Government
5	Exhibit 12 as Xavier Rodriguez; is that right, sir?
6	A Yes, sir.
7	Q By the way, how is his first name spelled?
8	A Xavier with an X, X-A-V-E-I-R I-E-R.
9	Q And how do you know Mr. Rodriguez?
10	A He was the undersecretary of hydrocarbons.
11	Q And did you work with him in that role, sir?
12	A You can say, yes.
13	Q Why the qualification?
14	A Because actually the ministry was not a part of
15	Petroecuador, but as a regulatory body, we had some dealings.
16	Q Earlier you said that you pled guilty to a money
17	laundering conspiracy.
18	Was Mr. Rodriguez one of the people that you
19	conspired with?
20	A Yes.
21	Q What was Mr. Rodriguez's role in the conspiracy?
22	MR. PACKARD: Objection. Foundation.
23	THE COURT: I'll allow it.
24	A Mr. Rodriguez was interested later on in helping Vitol
25	get off the blacklist. And later on he was the person who

1	
	Arias - Direct - Solomon 134
1	helped with the negotiations by presenting the companies,
2	along with Vitol I'd like to correct. The people.
3	Q What do you mean, sir, when you're correcting to the
4	people?
5	A He was the person who introduced me to it, even though I
6	already knew him as a representative, but the person who
7	introduced me to Mr. Aguilar from Vitol to do what he called
8	business.
9	Q I see. Do you
10	THE INTERPRETER: Interpreter correction.
11	A To do what he called deals.
12	Q Do you know how Mr. Rodriguez knows the defendant?
13	MR. PACKARD: Objection. Speculation.
14	THE COURT: Overruled.
15	A Yes.
16	Q How is that?
17	A According to what Mr. Rodriguez told me
18	MR. PACKARD: Objection. Hearsay.
19	THE COURT: Sustained.
20	BY MR. SOLOMON:
21	Q Did you and Mr. Rodriguez ever discuss bribes, sir?
22	A Yes.
23	Q Was that in connection with the conspiracy that you pled
24	guilty to, sir?
25	A Yes.

```
Arias - Direct - Solomon
                                                                  135
         Did Mr. Rodriguez ever tell you that he received bribes
1
    Q
 2
    while he was in the Ecuadorian government?
 3
               MR. PACKARD: Objection.
                                          Hearsay.
               THE COURT: This is being offered for the truth,
 4
    Mr. Solomon?
 5
6
               MR. SOLOMON: It is. May we approach?
7
               THE COURT: Yes.
8
               (Sidebar.)
               (Continued on next page.)
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

Sidebar 136

(Sidebar conference held on the record in the presence of the Court and counsel, out of the hearing of the jury.)

MR. SOLOMON: It is being offered for the truth.

It's a statement of a co-conspirator, Mr. Rodriguez --

THE COURT: There is so many conspiracies here, I want to make sure we're in the right conspiracy.

MR. SOLOMON: Okay. I can lay the foundation for that of what he -- what the witness will say is that Mr. Rodriguez also took bribes from the defendant in connection with the fuel oil transaction and other transactions related to Vitol, and that's what he pled to.

MR. PACKARD: So, I'm unclear if he's going to say that -- if this guy is about to testify that Mr. Rodriguez took bribes, that's all hearsay. So I don't see how they're going to use hearsay to get over my hearsay objection about what he would say.

MR. SOLOMON: It is hearsay, but it is accepted for the hearsay rule as a statement by a co-conspirator.

MR. PACKARD: If that's been established, Your Honor, but we don't think we're even at that, you know, that presumption that could apply.

THE COURT: Well, the issue is what's the proffer for that conspiracy? Is it the one that Mr. Aguilar is charged in?

137 Sidebar MR. SOLOMON: Yes, Your Honor, it is. He has just 1 2 said that one of the crimes he pled guilty to was conspiracy. The people he conspired with, he's named so far, are 3 4 Mr. Aguilar and Mr. Rodriguez. And one of those various conspiracies is the Vitol 5 6 What we suspect he's going to say is that not conspiracy. 7 only did Mr. Rodriguez tell him that he also received bribes 8 from the defendant, but he told him in such a way as to say, 9 you should also accept bribes from the defendant as part of the foundations of the corrupt deal. 10 11 THE COURT: This creates the creation of trust among 12 co-conspirators. 13 MR. SOLOMON: Absolutely. THE COURT: I will allow it. 14 15 MR. SOLOMON: Thank you, Your Honor. 16 (End of bench conference.) 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

	0000
	Arias - Direct - Solomon 138
1	MR. SOLOMON: If we could have the last question
2	read back, please.
3	(Record read.)
4	THE WITNESS: Yes.
5	BY MR. SOLOMON:
6	Q Did he tell you who he received bribes from?
7	A No.
8	MR. PACKARD: Objection, Your Honor, as to time. It
9	bears on the subject we just discussed at sidebar.
10	MR. SOLOMON: I'm happy to inquire as to the time,
11	Your Honor.
12	THE COURT: Yes.
13	BY MR. SOLOMON:
14	Q When is the first time that you had a conversation with
15	Mr. Rodriguez about bribes?
16	A Approximately the year 2014, '15.
17	Q Tell us about that conversation, sir.
18	A He was asking to when he was asking us to help Vitol,
19	he said that Vitol was interested in us helping them, and he
20	referred to them as the friends or our friends when talking
21	about the people from Vitol.
22	Q Mr. Arias, is Vitol a company?
23	A Yes.
24	Q In those conversations, did Mr. Rodriguez tell you any
25	particular person at Vitol with whom he discussed bribes?

```
Arias - Direct - Solomon
                                                                  139
               MR. PACKARD: Objection. Hearsay. May we approach?
1
2
               THE COURT: I'll let you approach.
               (Continued on next page.)
 3
 4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Filed 03/20/24 Page 117 of 173 PageID #: 140 Sidebar (continuing.) (Sidebar conference held on the record out of the hearing of the jury.) MR. PACKARD: Your Honor, it's Michael Packard for Mr. Aguilar. The issue here is one of timing. This gentleman, I believe, is attesting to an initial first conversation ever with Xavier Rodriguez, where he is reporting to say that Mr. Rodriguez was already going to talk about bribe payments he has received from Vitol. The issue here is Mr. Aguilar is not charged with participating in any scheme that started before that time. It's outside the scope of charge of conspiracy. It is not a statement in furtherance of this charge of conspiracy, period, full stop. MR. SOLOMON: You asked that we establish the And what the timing is going to show is that he began receiving bribes in 2014, and continued to receive bribes throughout the charged scheme for many years

thereafter.

What Mr. Rodriguez's role is he was one of the introducing people between Mr. Aguilar and Mr. Arias and he also is one of the people who held one of the first meetings with the Peres. So this is the foundation of the scheme, Your Honor.

Sidebar 141

THE COURT: This is the foundation of the scheme leading into the creation of the role that Mr. Aguilar allegedly played?

MR. PACKARD: So here is the issue, Your Honor. There is only the bribery payment that the Government has identified to us, the bribe payment made to Xavier Rodriguez occurred after this alleged conversation he is talking about right now, every single one, we wrote the letter.

MR. SOLOMON: We do intend to offer specific evidence only of payments during the charged conspiracy.

Beginning in 2015, we will establish one payment, then one in 2016, and a series of payments in 2017 and 2018.

MR. PACKARD: The testimony from somebody is also evidence. They are barred from introducing this because they did not identify it on the schedule identified by the Court, period. This is absolute sandbagging, Your Honor. It ought not be allowed.

MR. SOLOMON: Forgive me, but as Your Honor knows, your ruling was to identify the specific transactions of the nature alleged in the superseding indictment on which the government intended to rely on at trial. Not only did we satisfy those obligations through a letter identifying dozens of such transactions, we also --

THE COURT: The question is are you relying now on a transaction -- very simple, are you now relying on a

	Sidebar 142
1	transaction
2	MR. SOLOMON: We are not.
3	THE COURT: that you didn't identify?
4	MR. SOLOMON: We are not, Your Honor. We are
5	relying only on
6	THE COURT: Well, relying.
7	Are you going to use, during the course of this
8	trial, a transaction that you did not identify?
9	MR. SOLOMON: We are not, Your Honor.
10	THE COURT: He said no.
11	MR. PACKARD: He is about to identify here, he is
12	about to get testimony from this witness, that Xavier
13	Rodriguez will say I've got bribes that weren't identified
14	to us. It could be outside the scope of the letter, but
15	MR. SOLOMON: We are not going to ask, Your Honor,
16	about any transactions. Only the conversations which are
17	the foundation of the scheme, which were, did Mr. Rodriguez
18	ever tell you about bribes, yes.
19	THE COURT: Didn't you just ask if he ever took a
20	bribe?
21	MR. SOLOMON: I asked him if Mr. Rodriguez ever
22	told him that he took bribes from the defendant. Period.
23	Hard stop.
24	We are not going to adduce evidence before the
25	jury of a transaction in 2014 or before the charged

143 Sidebar Only the existence of, look, there were bribes 1 conspiracy. 2 paid, that is the establishment of the trust relationship, he paid me and therefore he can be trusted to pay you, and 3 4 that's how the scheme began. MR. PACKARD: So the issue, Your Honor, is a 5 payment is a transaction. You can call it whatever you 6 7 want, semantics, this is something that has never been told 8 to us. 9 THE COURT: There were other conversations that 10 come later between these same people? 11 MR. SOLOMON: Yes. There are many. 12 Including during the charged THE COURT: Many. 13 conspiracy? 14 MR. SOLOMON: Yes, absolutely. 15 THE COURT: Okay. Sustained. 16 MR. PACKARD: Thank you, Your Honor. 17 (Sidebar conference ends.) 18 (Continued on following page.) 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

Arias - Direct - Solomon 144 (In open court.) 1 BY MR. SOLOMON: 2 3 Mr. Arias, I believe you said a moment ago that 4 Mr. Rodriguez approached you with the idea of doing business with Vitol; is that correct? 5 Α Correct. 6 7 And what was the kind of business that was being Q 8 proposed? 9 MR. PACKARD: Objection. Vague. 10 THE COURT: I'm going to allow it. He can answer. I will allow him to answer. 11 12 Bribes. Α 13 Q Forgive me, was there a particular product that you 14 discussed with Mr. Rodriguez? 15 Α Yes. 16 And what product was that? Q 17 GLP. Α 18 Did you also discuss Vitol's being on the blacklist 19 with Rodriguez? 20 THE INTERPRETER: May the interpreter please 21 clarify the interpretation of the question? 22 The product was LPG. 23 MR. SOLOMON: Thank you. 24 Yes. He insisted on our helping getting them off the Α 25 list.

	Arias - Direct - Solomon 145
1	Q So we have been talking about the time period 2014,
2	2015, thereabouts; is that right, sir?
3	A Correct.
4	Q Did those conversations with Mr. Rodriguez continue?
5	A Yes.
6	Q And did there come a time when you discussed a specific
7	LPG transaction with Mr. Rodriguez?
8	A Correct.
9	Q Tell us about that proposal.
10	MR. PACKARD: Objection. Vague as to time.
11	THE COURT: Can you set a more specific time,
12	Mr. Solomon?
13	MR. SOLOMON: I would be happy to.
14	Q Can you place us in time, Mr. Arias? What time were
15	these discussions about a specific LPG contract?
16	A Starting in the middle of 2015.
17	Q Okay. And tell us about that proposal, sir.
18	A Vitol wanted to continue to participate in the to
19	continue to offer, but they were not able to do so because
20	they were blacklisted. And the only way in which they could
21	do so was to introduce a state-owned entity as fronting.
22	Q When you use the word "fronting," sir, what do you
23	mean?
24	A A company that would act on their behalf to obtain the
25	product and to engage in the negotiations.

	0041
	Arias - Direct - Solomon 146
1	Q And was that company a state-owned company, sir?
2	A It had to be in order to engage in a direct
3	negotiation.
4	Q What state-owned company were you discussing at that
5	time, in 2015, sir?
6	A PEMEX, Mexico.
7	Q And what is PEMEX?
8	A It is the Mexican state-owned company. It is a Mexican
9	state-owned oil company.
10	Q And in connection with those conversations with
11	Mr. Rodriguez, and I think you said this is mid-2015, did
12	you discuss bribes?
13	MR. PACKARD: Objection. Hearsay.
14	THE COURT: This is what we discussed at sidebar,
15	Mr. Solomon?
16	MR. SOLOMON: It is, Your Honor.
17	THE COURT: Overruled.
18	A Yes.
19	Q Tell us about that discussion.
20	MR. PACKARD: Objection, Your Honor.
21	THE COURT: May we approach?
22	(Sidebar.)
23	(Continued on the following page.)
24	
25	

Sidebar 147 (Sidebar conference held on the record out of the 1 2 hearing of the jury.) 3 MR. PACKARD: So Your Honor, my Spanish is very 4 limited; however, I heard the word "valores," which means, I believe, payments. 5 And so I want to make sure that he's not about to 6 7 testify that Xavier Rodriguez said he got payments in the 8 past from Vitol, because that's exactly what you said he 9 can't testify to. If he is about to testify that he's 10 making some future proposal for this conspiracy --Just to clarify -- sorry to 11 MR. SOLOMON: 12 interrupt. Go ahead, Mr. Packard. 13 MR. PACKARD: If he is going to testify about 14 future payments, we object to that under hearsay. 15 MR. SOLOMON: Just to be clear, that was not my understanding of Your Honor's ruling. 16 17 THE COURT: No. I don't want any testimony 18 about -- that involves payments prior -- that were not 19 identified --20 Prior to the charged conspiracy. MR. SOLOMON: 21 THE COURT: Yes. 22 MR. SOLOMON: And within the charged conspiracy --23 THE COURT: Which that would -- and as far as 24 identification, yes, and either way, the point was that 25 there were going to be specific transactions about which

148 Sidebar there would be testimony that they would be turned over and 1 2 discovered. 3 MR. SOLOMON: Correct. 4 THE COURT: So I don't know. The concern is that this is going to be testimony about a transaction that was 5 not turned over and, presumably, because of the timing, you 6 7 suspect it was not turned over. 8 MR. PACKARD: I don't know why it wasn't, Your 9 Honor. 10 What I will say is not only was it not identified in any specific list of transactions, it's not in any 3500 11 12 material that there's a conversation about past payment. 13 This is brand spanking new, right here, right now, as an 14 Officer of the Court. 15 MR. SOLOMON: That's not correct. This is covered 16 in Mr. Arias's 302. 17 But beyond that, I will help you with the Spanish. 18 What he was testifying to --19 THE COURT: I feel so left out. 20 MR. SOLOMON: There were payments and he used the 21 word "sobornos," which means bribes. He didn't identify a 22 transaction. Then I asked him whether he talked about 23 bribes in that conversation and he said yes, we talked about 24 commissions and by commissions, I meant bribes.

> Jamik Anr. Stantor, RMK, CRK, RPR Officia, Cour. Reporter

And so for there, we're leaving it at that and I

25

Sidebar 149

am going to ask him what he understood for that to mean, what kind of terms he used and this sort of thing, but I am not going to ask the witness, well, did he tell you on April 15, 2013 that he got a payment into his Bank of America account.

I mean, we are going to get there later, but those were identified to you, but in this instance, he is saying only that --

THE COURT: Okay, so why don't you put in as saying from blank and beyond, did this happen.

MR. SOLOMON: He said mid-2015. And I did put that buffer in the question, so I think we are there. And I am happy to be more specific.

THE COURT: But make sure when he answers, when he elaborates on his answer, you are going to go back and say okay, he said that, what about -- you have got to go down your list, whatever your list is.

MR. SOLOMON: And I am.

THE COURT: You as well.

MR. PACKARD: I want no testimony about alleged payments that Xavier Rodriguez said he received before this charged conspiracy.

If he is talking about stuff from the front end, our objection is hearsay, but we get your ruling there.

THE COURT: Right.

	Sidebar 150
1	MR. KOFFMANN: Your Honor, this is Mr. Koffmann.
2	Can I make a suggestion? The witness has given an
3	answer that is in Spanish. The interpreter can tell us at
4	sidebar what the answer is, just to make sure that there is
5	no issue and it won't violate Your Honor's ruling.
6	MR. SOLOMON: Yes. I don't want to be the
7	translator.
8	THE COURT: I lost track which interpreter we are
9	on.
10	MR. SOLOMON: I will go grab her.
11	We are going to take a break after this, anyway.
12	We are up to our midafternoon break.
13	THE INTERPRETER: The answer to the question by
14	the witness was: Mr. Rodriguez was acting on behalf of
15	Mr. Aguilar Vitol and in order to move forward with the
16	contract, it was necessary that the amount of the
17	commissions, bribes, be defined.
18	THE COURT: And this is for the transaction after
19	April.
20	MR. PACKARD: Our objection, of course, is
21	hearsay, but
22	THE COURT: Right, I know. Then you got my
23	ruling.
24	MR. PACKARD: Yes, sir. No additional objections.
25	THE COURT: Okay.

```
Sidebar
                                                                 151
1
               MR. PACKARD:
                              Thank you, Your Honor.
 2
               THE COURT: We are going to get that answer and
 3
    then we will take a break, okay.
                             Thank you, Your Honor.
               MR. SOLOMON:
 4
                             Thank you, Your Honor. Perfect.
               MR. PACKARD:
 5
               (Sidebar conference ends.)
 6
 7
               (Continued on following page.)
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

Arias - Direct - Solomon 152 1 (In open court.) 2 MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, may the interpreter 3 provide the answer given by the witness? 4 THE COURT: Yes. Mr. Rodriguez was acting on behalf of Mr. Aguilar, 5 Vitol, and in order to move forward with contract, it was 6 7 necessary that the amounts of the commissions, bribes, be 8 defined. 9 THE COURT: Okay. I think before you pursue that 10 line, Mr. Solomon, I think we will take a midafternoon 11 break, give everybody a chance to go back, relax for a few 12 minutes, and use any of the other rooms back there that you 13 may need to use. We will take about a 15-minute break or 14 so, for our midafternoon break. 15 The midafternoon and the midmorning break rules continue to apply. Do not discuss the case amongst 16 17 yourselves or with anyone else you may run into in the hall 18 and continue to keep an open mind. And we will be back in 19 about 15 minutes or so. 20 (Witness leaves the stand; jury exits.) 21 THE COURT: Okay, we will take our break. I don't know -- Mr. Solomon, I don't know how far 22 23 you have to go, but we can stretch to 5:30 or so, if need

MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, we will take all of the

24

25

be, but --

Proceedings 153
time you have available today.
THE COURT: With this witness?
MR. SOLOMON: Yes. We expect this witness to
continue.
THE COURT: You do not anticipate finishing direct
in any event?
MR. SOLOMON: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: That's fine. At least we know where
we are headed.
MR. SOLOMON: Thank you.
THE COURT: Everyone else can stretch. We will
see you in about 15.
MR. PACKARD: Thank you, Your Honor.
(Recess taken.)
THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: All rise. Court is now in
session. Counsel for both sides are present including the
defendant.
THE COURT: Be seated, please.
MR. LAX: Your Honor, it's Jonathan Lax.
We are going to bring the witness back in, if
that's okay?
THE COURT: Yes, you may.
(Witness takes the stand.)
THE COURT: William is getting the jury and
Mr. Lax is getting the witness.

	Arias - Direct - Solomon 154
1	(Jury enters.)
2	THE COURT: Be seated, please.
3	Counsel will stipulate that the jury is present
4	and properly seated.
5	MR. SOLOMON: So stipulated.
6	May I inquire, Your Honor?
7	MR. PACKARD: Stipulated for the defense, Your
8	Honor.
9	THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, we are in the
10	homestretch now for the day. We are on direct examination.
11	Mr. Solomon will continue.
12	MR. SOLOMON: Thank you, Your Honor.
13	And I am going to begin by attaching Government
14	Exhibit 12 to the display board and this is Xavier Rodriguez
15	next.
16	BY MR. SOLOMON:
17	Q Mr. Arias, I want to begin with a few language-related
18	questions.
19	And the first is the easiest. I have a note from
20	my colleague. Earlier, you used the word "gerente general."
21	What does that mean, sir?
22	A You could say that here, it's a CEO.
23	Q And I have been using the term CEO, but I just want to
24	make sure that we are in accordance with some of the
25	documents.

Arias - Direct - Solomon 155 Does it also mean general manager, sir? 1 2 Yes, it's the same thing. Α 3 Q Okay. 4 When you spoke with Mr. Rodriguez, what language did you speak in? 5 6 Α Spanish. 7 When you spoke with the defendant, what language did Q 8 you speak in? 9 Α Spanish. 10 Q Did you speak with Antonio Pere? 11 Α Yes. 12 What language? Q 13 Α Spanish. Did you speak with Enrique Pere? 14 Q 15 Yes. Α 16 What language? Q 17 Α Spanish. 18 Q What is the literal word in Spanish for bribes? 19 Sobornos or coimas. Α Did you ever use words like "sobornos" or "coimas" in 20 Q 21 your discussions with Mr. Rodriguez? 22 Α Never. 23 Q Did you ever use those words with any of your 24 co-conspirators? 25 Α Never.

Arias - Direct - Solomon 156 Were there other words in Spanish or expressions that 1 Q 2 you used to talk about bribes? 3 Yes. Commissions, deals, commission. 4 THE INTERPRETER: Comisiones, negocios, by the witness. 5 Anything else? 6 Q 7 Business. Α 8 Just before the break I asked you whether, in -- pause 9 that. 10 I want to put up some boundaries for our discussion, Mr. Arias. 11 12 When I am asking you about bribes, okay, and 13 discussions that you had with Mr. Rodriguez, I would like --14 I think you placed that last conversation in mid-2015, okay? So I would like that conversation to stick from that time 15 period forward. Okay, sir? 16 17 Α Okay. 18 THE COURT: And to be clear, forward means closer 19 in time to today. 20 THE WITNESS: Okay. 21 Just before the break, sir, you said that you had a 22 conversation with Mr. Rodriguez about a potential LPG deal 23 with the defendant and Vitol in which you discussed bribes; 24 is that correct, sir? 25 Α Correct.

i	
	Arias - Direct - Solomon 157
1	Q And how did you respond to that discussion, sir?
2	MR. PACKARD: Objection, vague.
3	THE COURT: No, I think he can answer it. I will
4	allow it. Overruled.
5	A What I said to Xavier Rodriguez was that he should
6	first meet with Antonio Pere to discuss the details of the
7	deal.
8	Q And I think you said in Spanish, sir, negocios; is that
9	correct?
10	A Correct.
11	Q And what did you mean when you said negocios?
12	A To make it more clear, it was referring to the
13	commissions of or the bribes that we would be requesting
14	for this.
15	MR. SOLOMON: Can we please pull up what's been
16	introduced into evidence as Government Exhibit 2?
17	(Exhibit published.)
18	Q Is this Mr. Antonio Pere?
19	A Yes.
20	Q Tell us how you met Mr. Pere.
21	A I met him way before, in the year 2006, 2007. He was
22	introduced to me by another friend, Francisco Acosta, who
23	had also been the minister of energy.
24	Q And at that time, sir, did you have an understanding of
25	what Mr. Antonio Pere did for a living?

Arias - Direct - Solomon 158 Yes. 1 Α 2 And what was that? 3 He would act as an agent or consultant for certain 4 companies and almost always paying bribes to public officials. 5 Objection. 6 MR. PACKARD: Move to strike. Foundation. 7 8 THE COURT: I'm going to allow it. 9 Q Has Mr. Antonio Pere ever paid you a bribe? 10 Α Yes. 11 Were those bribes part of the scheme that you pled quilty to, sir? 12 13 Α Yes. 14 Q Who did Mr. Pere pay you bribes on behalf of? 15 Certain companies. Α 16 All right. Please name them. Q Trafigura, Petredec, Sergeant Marine, Gunvor -- I'm 17 18 actually removing Petredec from the list. Vitol. And I'm 19 trying to make sure I'm not forgetting any. And Vitol, yes. 20 Q Did he pay you bribes on behalf of the defendant? 21 Yes. Α 22 A moment ago you said that you told Xavier Rodriguez to 23 set a meeting with Antonio Pere to discuss the commissions; 24 is that correct, sir? 25 MR. PACKARD: Objection. Misstates testimony.

	Arias - Direct - Solomon 159
1	THE COURT: He's trying to fix a point to go to a
2	question. I'm going to allow it for that purpose.
3	THE INTERPRETER: The answer was correct.
4	Q Do you know whether that meeting actually occurred?
5	A Yes.
6	Q Okay. What was Antonio Pere's role in the scheme
7	involving the defendant?
8	A He was the one receiving the payment from Javier
9	Aguilar. And Antonio Pere would subsequently distribute the
10	funds.
11	MR. SOLOMON: For the record, I am going to affix
12	Government Exhibit 2 to the display board.
13	Q Do you know how Antonio Pere makes money?
14	A Yes. Through the system that I have already described,
15	acting in the middle of companies and public officials.
16	Q Okay, but how does he actually make money himself?
17	MR. PACKARD: Objection. Speculation.
18	THE COURT: Why don't you lay the foundation.
19	MR. SOLOMON: I can put: Do you know.
20	THE COURT: Do you know.
21	Q Do you know how he personally made money in that role, sir?
22	A Yes.
23	Q How is that?
24	MR. PACKARD: Objection. Foundation.
25	THE COURT: The question is: How do you know?

```
Arias - Direct - Solomon
                                                                160
         Because he described it to me on several occasions.
1
    Α
 2
              MR. PACKARD:
                             Objection.
 3
              Oh, sorry.
                           I am going to allow it.
 4
              THE COURT:
5
              MR. PACKARD:
                             The answer is fine.
              The question I thought was coming I'm
6
7
    pre-objecting to, Your Honor.
8
              MR. SOLOMON: Let's see how we go.
9
    Q
         The question is: What did he tell you?
10
              MR. PACKARD:
                             Objection.
                                         Hearsay.
11
                           I'm going to sustain the objection and
              THE COURT:
12
    suggest you move on, Mr. Solomon.
13
              MR. SOLOMON: Okay. I think this is an issue that
14
    is probably going to recur.
15
              And if I may, can we come to sidebar, sir?
16
              THE COURT:
                           Sure.
17
               (Sidebar.)
18
               (Continued on the following page.)
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

161 Sidebar (Sidebar conference held on the record out of the 1 2 hearing of the jury.) 3 MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, I am happy to focus on 4 how he made commissions specifically on this transaction, but it is going to be a statement in furtherance of the 5 scheme because it describes how Mr. Pere received a cut. a 6 7 per-barrel commission. Part of that money was then paid 8 forward to the defendant. So basically they took the 9 submissions, they divided them up. Some of them were 10 bribes, he kept some of them. 11 Sorry? 12 Some were paid forward --MR. LAX: 13 MR. SOLOMON: Some were paid forward to the 14 witness, excuse me. 15 That was made in furtherance of the conspiracy, 16 obviously, to explain this is how the operation works. 17 THE COURT: I got a little bit lost because we are 18 talking about 2008, so I don't know where we were here. 19 MR. SOLOMON: Fair enough. And that is on me and 20 I am happy to place us back in time, but that's where I am 21 going with this, Your Honor. 22 THE COURT: Yes. 23 MR. SOLOMON: In the discussions, I will place them in connection with this scheme. 24 25 THE COURT: A little earlier in the day, to the

162 Sidebar extent that there are conversations in the nature of 1 2 building trust among the co-conspirators, they are 3 admissible to establish on the record how the conspiracy 4 develops, why these people are trusting each other. And I 5 think it's admissible on that basis. MR. PACKARD: Your Honor, the only thing I 6 7 noticed --8 THE COURT: In the appropriate -- assuming that 9 things were turned over properly and it's in the right timeframe. 10 What I will do is I will focus it in 11 MR. SOLOMON: 12 connection with this scheme in particular, and I hope that 13 that satisfies Your Honor's ruling. 14 MR. PACKARD: I think, Your Honor, my concern was it a was a general question, how does he make money? 15 16 There's lots of ways people make money. This scheme, if he 17 is not talking about things in this scheme, it's one 18 thing --19 Here's the problem, you are going to THE COURT: 20 object every time he asks a leading question. 21 MR. PACKARD: Not every time. 22 THE COURT: Most of the time. 23 And then when he doesn't ask leading questions, 24 you will say where is the foundation. We will be here we 25 will be here till Tisha B'Av.

```
163
                                 Sidebar
 1
               MR. PACKARD:
                             I am a stickler, Your Honor, I'm
 2
     sorry.
 3
               THE COURT:
                           I am going to get my stick.
                             Certainly, Your Honor.
 4
               MR. PACKARD:
               THE COURT: I was always told when I was young
 5
    like you, it doesn't go on the record, it's your word
 6
7
    against the judge's.
8
               MR. PACKARD: That's right. I like your odds,
    Your Honor.
9
               (Sidebar conference ends.)
10
11
               (Continued on following page.)
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

Arias - Direct - Solomon 164 (In open court.) 1 THE COURT: Mr. Solomon. 2 BY MR. SOLOMON: 3 4 I am going to be a little more specific with you, Mr. Arias. 5 I believe you said earlier that Antonio Pere is 6 7 one of the people you conspired with to pay bribes; is that 8 correct, sir? 9 Correct. 10 And was one of the companies that he paid you bribes on behalf of Vitol? 11 12 Yes. Α 13 Q And did he pay you bribes on behalf of the defendant? 14 Α Yes. In connection with that particular scheme, sir, 15 do you know how Antonio Pere received money? 16 17 Α Yes. 18 Q And how do you know that? 19 Because he confirmed with me the scheme. Α 20 Q Okay. And what did he tell you, sir? 21 That he organized the payments through an offshore 22 intermediary company that Javier Aguilar -- that had been 23 mentioned by Javier Aguilar. And he said that it was a 24 company that Vitol had already used previously in a scheme 25 with Brazil.

```
Arias - Direct - Solomon
                                                                  165
 1
          Okay.
                 Do you know what that company was, sir?
    Q
 2
          No.
    Α
 3
    Q
          Do you know who owned that company?
          No.
 4
    Α
          Okay. So just to confirm, I believe you said Antonio
 5
    Pere paid you bribes on behalf of the defendant, correct?
 6
 7
          Correct.
    Α
               (Continued on the following page.)
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
Arias - direct - Solomon
                                                                 166
                      (Continuing)
1
    BY MR. SOLOMON:
 2
         And the money that Mr. Pere received, he received from an
 3
    offshore company that the defendant worked with, is that
 4
    correct?
5
              MR. PACKARD:
                             Objection. Asked and answered.
              THE COURT: Yes, sustained. Let's move on.
6
7
              MR. SOLOMON: Okay. Your Honor, I'm going to place
8
    one additional item on the board here, and that is a face that
9
    has not been identified and does not have a name, and I'm
10
    going to place it between Mr. Aguilar and Mr. Antonio Pere.
         Mr. Arias, based on that conversation, does this display
11
12
    accurately represent how you understood the payment flows
13
    would occur?
14
    Α
         Yes.
         Did Antonio Pere work alone?
15
    ()
16
    Α
         No.
         Who else did he work with?
17
    Q
18
    Α
         His brother, Enrique Pere.
19
              MR. SOLOMON: Okay. Can you please, Ms. Jefferson,
20
    put up Government Exhibit 5, which has been admitted into
21
    evidence, and I'm going to place Government Exhibit 5 on the
22
    board.
23
    Q
         Was Mr. Pere, was Mr. Enrique Pere one of the individuals
    you conspired with to receive bribes on behalf of Vitol?
24
25
    Α
         Yes.
```

ĺ	
	Arias - direct - Solomon 167
1	Q And what was Enrique Pere's role in the scheme?
2	A He managed the banks and the payments from those banks.
3	Q How was that different than what Antonio Pere did? Can
4	you explain to us the different roles of the brothers?
5	A Yes. Antonio was the boss, so to speak. He was the one
6	who established the negotiations and the amounts, and Enrique
7	was the operational side.
8	Q Okay. Did you ever meet with Enrique Pere in person?
9	A Yes.
10	Q Where did you meet?
11	A We would meet in Quito or Miami.
12	Q Did the Pere brothers have an office?
13	A Yes.
14	Q And where was that office located?
15	A In Miami around
16	THE INTERPRETER: The interpreter needs repetition.
17	A Coral Gables.
18	Q When you met with the Peres, did you meet in that office?
19	A Yes.
20	Q Did you meet them anywhere else in Miami?
21	A Yes, at Antonio's house.
22	Q Earlier, you said you took bribes from several other
23	companies in addition to Vitol, is that correct?
24	A Yes.
25	Q Did Enrique Pere handle those bribes as well, sir?

	Arias - direct - Solomon 168	
1	A Yes.	
2	Q In your conversations with Xavier Rodriguez, mid-2015 and	
3	beyond, about bribes, did he tell you who paid him the bribes?	
4	A In the agreement, the person who was going to pay him was	
5	Enrique Pere.	
6	Q And were those bribes on behalf of anyone else, sir?	
7	A No.	
8	Q Talking about Xavier Rodriguez, he received payments from	
9	Enrique Pere you said, correct, sir?	
10	A Yes.	
11	Q Was Enrique making those payments on his own behalf or on	
12	behalf of someone else?	
13	MR. PACKARD: Objection. Asked and answered.	
14	THE COURT: I'll allow it.	
15	A Oh, no, on behalf of someone else.	
16	Q Who?	
17	A In this case, Mr. Xavier Rodriguez.	
18	MR. SOLOMON: I'm going to put on the display board	
19	what's been identified as Government Exhibit No. 8, which is a	
20	photo of Nilsen Arias.	
21	Q Sir, did the Peres use nicknames to describe the people	
22	involved in the scheme?	
23	A Yes, always.	
24	Q Do you know why they did that?	
25	MR. PACKARD: Objection. Speculation.	

	Arias - direct - Solomon	169
1	THE COURT: We're going to find out. Overruled.	
2	Do you know why?	
3	A Yes. It was a custom that they had their whole lives.	
4	Q Okay. Did they have one for you, sir?	
5	A Yes.	
6	Q What was that?	
7	A Two. With some people, Mr. Juice, and with others,	
8	El Gordo.	
9	Q I'm going to ask you about the first one, sir.	
10	Why did they call you Mr. Juice?	
11	A It's a long story, but at a meeting, I didn't drink	
12	alcohol and so I asked for a juice, and I ended up with that	
13	nickname.	
14	Q Do you know if they had a nickname for Mr. Rodriguez?	
15	A Yes, they had a nickname.	
16	Q And what was that?	
17	A Del Hierro.	
18	Q And for the record, how is that spelled?	
19	A Del, D-E-L, Hierro, H-I-E-R-R-O.	
20	Q Do you know what that means, sir?	
21	A Yes. There was a little bit of confusion about it for	me
22	because it was the name of a singer or a Colombian soccer	
23	player. And they said that he looked like that person, but	I
24	don't know which of the two.	
25	MR. SOLOMON: Okay. I'm going to attach his	

	Arias - direct - Solomon 170	170
1	nickname to the display board.	
2	Q We're going to change directions some, Mr. Arias.	
3	Earlier you stated that Antonio Pere paid you bribes	u bribes
4	on behalf of the defendant, is that correct?	
5	A Correct.	
6	Q Do you know how the defendant met Mr. Pere?	
7	A Yes, because I organized that meeting.	
8	Q Tell us about that, sir.	
9	A When Xavier Rodriguez and I were organizing the payment	ayment
10	of the commissions, I told him that the first thing that he	at he
11	had to do was to meet with Antonio Pere, and that was what	
12	they did under my instructions.	
13	Q Why did you tell him that?	
14	A Because I was not going to discuss those conditions with	ns with
15	them.	
16	Q Why not?	
17	A Because it was risky. We were talking about commissions,	issions,
18	bribes.	
19	Q Do you know who attended that meeting, sir?	
20	MR. PACKARD: Objection, speculation, not relevant.	levant.
21	THE COURT: I'll allow it.	
22	A Yes.	
23	Q How do you know that, sir?	
24	A Because three people who were at the meeting confirmed it	irmed it
25	to me.	

```
Arias - direct - Solomon
                                                                 171
         Who were those three people?
1
    Q
 2
         Antonio Pere, Enrique Pere, and Javier Aguilar.
    Α
 3
    Q
         Do you know whether Mr. Rodriguez was at that meeting,
 4
    sir?
    Α
         I don't remember.
 5
    Q
         All right. I want to walk through in order, sir.
6
7
              What did Antonio Pere tell you occurred at that
8
    meeting?
9
              MR. PACKARD:
                             Objection.
                                         Hearsay.
10
              THE COURT: Mr. Solomon, we're in the bounds of my
11
    rule.
12
              MR. SOLOMON:
                             We are, sir.
13
              THE COURT: I'll allow it.
14
              MR. SOLOMON: Let me ask one foundational question
    to help, Your Honor.
15
16
              THE COURT:
                          Yes.
17
    Q
         What time period are we talking about, sir?
18
         Right now, we're talking about the first meeting in the
19
    year 2015.
20
    Q
         Okay. And was this after your conversation with Javier
21
    Rodriguez, which, I think, you testified earlier was mid-2015?
22
    Α
         Yes.
23
    Q
                Returning to my question, what did Antonio Pere
    tell you occurred at that meeting?
24
         At that first meeting, they had agreed on amounts, based
25
```

CMH OCR RDR FCRR

	Arias - direct - Solomon 172
1	on negotiations, that would be paid if the LPG deal went
2	through, the amount of commissions that would be paid.
3	THE INTERPRETER: Interpreter correction.
4	Q And, sir, when you say "commissions" right now, what are
5	you referring to?
6	A Bribes.
7	Q And when you say "the LPG deal," is this the LPG deal
8	with PEMEX that you were referencing earlier, sir?
9	A Yes, sir.
10	Q And I believe you said you had a conversation with the
11	defendant about that meeting too.
12	Is that correct?
13	A Yes.
14	Q What did he tell you?
15	A That he had left that meeting happy and that the, our
16	friends were good people, good guys.
17	THE INTERPRETER: Interpreter correction: "The
18	friends were good guys."
19	MR. SOLOMON: I'm sorry. Interpreter, "the
20	friends"?
21	THE INTERPRETER: "The friends."
22	Q Who do you mean when you say "the friends"?
23	A He referred to the Pere brothers that way.
24	Q Okay. What was your understanding based on that
25	conversation, sir?

i	
	Arias - direct - Solomon 173
1	MR. PACKARD: Objection. Speculation or vague.
2	Understanding of what?
3	THE COURT: Why don't you be more specific in the
4	question, and it will satisfy the objection.
5	Q Based on what the defendant told you, what was your
6	understanding of what occurred, of what occurred at the
7	meeting between Antonio Pere and the defendant?
8	A That everything had been arranged and we could continue
9	with the official negotiation.
10	Q All right. Sir, I'm going to need you to be more
11	specific.
12	When you say "everything had been arranged," what do
13	you mean?
14	A When I said everything, there are two parts. The first
15	part is the negotiations themselves, which is what I was
16	interested in, and the other part is the payment of the
17	bribes.
18	Q After that conversation with the defendant, did you start
19	working on an LPG deal with Vitol?
20	A With Vitol and PEMEX, yes.
21	Q Was there a particular person at Vitol who was in charge
22	of those negotiations?
23	A Mr. Javier Aguilar.
24	Q All right. Sir, at a very high level, can you please
25	describe the proposed LPG transaction that you worked on.

	Arias - direct - Solomon 174
1	A Yes. We had, we were offering them the right to sell us
2	LPG for a loan of \$500 million.
3	Q So I just want to walk through this slowly, sir.
4	Petroecuador, was it going to be the buyer in this
5	transaction?
6	A Yes.
7	Q Who was going to be the seller?
8	A PEMEX.
9	Q What's PEMEX?
10	A The Mexican oil company, the Mexican state-owned oil
11	company.
12	Q Does Mexico produce LPG, sir?
13	MR. PACKARD: Objection. Foundation.
14	THE COURT: Subject to connection. Overruled.
15	A It does produce it but for its own use.
16	Q Okay. So the LPG at that was going to be sold from PEMEX
17	to Ecuador, where was PEMEX going to get that LPG?
18	A Vitol would supply it to PEMEX, and PEMEX would then sell
19	to Petroecuador.
20	Q Why not just buy the LPG right from Vitol?
21	A It would have had to be done through a tender, and we
22	couldn't, we could not engage in a direct negotiation with
23	Vitol.
24	Q Is a tender a competitive process?
25	A Yes.

Arias - direct - Solomon 175 Do you have any influence over the winner of a tender? 1 Q 2 MR. PACKARD: Objection. Asked and answered. THE COURT: I'm going to allow it, just for 3 4 continuity purposes. 5 Α No. Do you have influence over the winner of direct 6 Q 7 negotiations? 8 Yes. 9 At some point in time, sir, did you start dealing 10 directly with PEMEX regarding this proposed transaction? Yes. 11 12 And did you ever meet with PEMEX officials in person, Q 13 sir? 14 Yes, on two occasions. Q Okay. Where were those meetings held? 15 16 The first one was during a visit by PEMEX to Ecuador in 17 our offices, and the second one was held in PEMEX's main 18 offices in Mexico City. 19 Q Do you know the exact dates of those meetings, sir? 20 Not the exact dates but they were around October of 2015. Α 21 Q Mr. Arias, did you keep a journal of the meetings you 22 held? 23 Α Yes. 24 Q What did you write in those journals? First, the date of the meeting, and also the relevant 25 Α

```
Arias - direct - Solomon
                                                                  176
    topics of the meeting.
 1
 2
          Did you write down every meeting in those journals, sir?
         Almost all official meetings, yes.
 3
    Α
               (Continued on next page.)
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CMH OCR RDR FCRR

	Arias - direct - Solomon 177
1	BY MR. SOLOMON: (Continuing) .
2	Q What do you mean when you say "official meetings"?
3	A The ones of the type that I had been discussing here,
4	direct negotiations, but not my private meetings.
5	Q Did you provide a copy of those journals to the
6	government, sir?
7	A The originals.
8	MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, may I approach the witness
9	with Government Exhibit 511 for identification only?
10	THE COURT: Yes.
11	Q Sir, do you recognize what's been marked for
12	identification as Government Exhibit 511?
13	A Yes. It's one of my journals.
14	Q And everything in these journals you wrote, sir?
15	A Yes.
16	MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, may I approach with some
17	specific pages that we've identified as sub exhibits?
18	THE COURT: Yes. Are they going to be separately
19	numbered?
20	MR. SOLOMON: They are. And they're numbered, for
21	the record, GX 511, A1 through A9.
22	THE COURT: And the defense has received copies of
23	those?
24	MR. SOLOMON: They have, Your Honor.
25	Just for the witness, please, Ms. Jefferson, can you

,		55.5
		Arias - direct - Solomon 178
1	pleas	se pull up Government Exhibit 511-A1.
2	Q	Sir, is that a page from the journal in front of you?
3	Α	Yes.
4		MR. SOLOMON: Ms. Jefferson, can you pull up A2.
5	Q	And we'll do the same question, Mr. Arias. Do you
6	reco	gnize that page, sir?
7	Α	Yes.
8	Q	Is that a part of the journal which I've handed to you a
9	momer	nt ago?
10	Α	Yes.
11		MR. SOLOMON: Ms. Jefferson, can you pull up A3.
12	Q	When it's before you, sir, please let me know if you
13	reco	gnize it.
14	Α	Yes.
15		MR. SOLOMON: Ms. Jefferson, A4.
16	Q	When that's up, sir, please let us know if you recognize
17	it.	
18	Α	Yes.
19		MR. SOLOMON: A5, please.
20	Q	Same question, sir.
21	Α	Yes, I do recognize it.
22	Q	A6. Do you recognize this document, sir?
23	Α	Yes.
24	Q	Is it a page of the journal in front of you?
25	Α	Yes.
	1	

	Arias - direct - Solomon 179
1	Q A7, please. Do you recognize A7, sir?
2	A Yes.
3	Q Is it part of the journal in front of you, which has been
4	identified as GX 511?
5	A Yes.
6	Q A8. Do you recognize that, sir?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Finally, A9. Do you recognize that, sir?
9	A Yes.
10	MR. SOLOMON: The government moves to admit
11	Government Exhibits A1 through A9 into evidence.
12	MR. PACKARD: No objection.
13	THE COURT: Received without objection.
14	(Government's Exhibits A1 through A9 were received
15	in evidence.)
16	Q Thank you, Mr. Arias. If you could keep those close to
17	you, we'll be referring to them for sometime.
18	MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, to give you a sense, it's
19	5:10. I have one series of questions that will take us up to
20	5:30, if that works for Your Honor.
21	THE COURT: That will work. It may be an obvious
22	question but in what language is this journal?
23	MR. SOLOMON: This journal is in Spanish, sir.
24	THE COURT: Is there going to be a proposed
25	translation provided, as well?

180

There is not a translation of this 1 MR. SOLOMON: 2 journal, Your Honor. However, we're going to ask very general 3 questions about it, for example, the first one we'll look at 4 is just a diagram. 5

I'm waiting with bated breath. THE COURT: Okay.

MR. SOLOMON: Ms. Jefferson, can you pull up

Exhibit 511-A1 and show this to the jury.

THE COURT: So the record is clear, these are all received in evidence without objection.

MR. SOLOMON: Yes, Your Honor.

Ms. Jefferson, can you please zoom in on the diagram on the top right, including the date.

13 BY MR. SOLOMON:

6

7

8

9

10

11

- 14 Q Sir, what is the date of this entry in your journal?
- October 7th. 15 Α
- 16 Q What year was that?
- 17 Α 2015.
- 18 Q Can you explain the diagram in the top right corner, sir?
- 19 It is the explanation that we received when PEMEX
- 20 visited Quito regarding the new structure of the trading area.
- 21 Does this relate to one of the -- does this relate to the
- 22 LPG transaction we've been discussing, sir?
- 23 Α Yes.
- 24 MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, may I approach?
- 25 THE COURT: You may.

- 1 Q Mr. Arias, I'm going to ask that you keep those pages of
- 2 the journal handy. In the folder I've just given you, I have
- 3 | a number of exhibits. The first four of those are GX 1033, an
- 4 attachment to that, which is GX 1033-A, and Spanish language
- 5 translations of both of those documents, which is 1033-T and
- 6 1033-AT.
- 7 MR. SOLOMON: Ms. Jefferson, can you show for the
- 8 | witness, GX 1033.
- 9 A Okay.
- 10 Q Sir, do you recognize GX 1033?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q What is this?
- 13 A It's an email sent by me to the Petroleos Mexicanos team,
- 14 | PEMEX, with two attachments.
- 15 Q And the date, sir?
- 16 A December 2, 2015.
- 17 MR. SOLOMON: Ms. Jefferson, can you pull up just
- 18 | for the witness, GX 1033-A.
- 19 Q Sir, do you recognize this document?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 | Q Is this one of the attachments to the email we've just
- 22 | been looking at?
- 23 | A Yes.
- MR. SOLOMON: At this time the government would like
- 25 to move into evidence Government Exhibits 1033 and 1033-A.

Any objection? THE COURT:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. PACKARD: Objection. We need to see the translation to understand exactly what we're about to agree or not agree comes in.

MR. SOLOMON: Sure thing. The translations, Mr. Packard, we've stipulated to but I'll pull those up on the screen now, which is 1033-T.

> MR. PACKARD: No objection.

THE COURT: It satisfies your concern?

MR. PACKARD: Yes, sir. Thank you.

THE COURT: It's received in evidence without objection.

(Government's Exhibits 1033 and 1033-A were received in evidence.)

MR. SOLOMON: The government would like to move into evidence the English translations of those documents, which have been stipulated to by the parties, which are 1033-T and 1033-AT.

THE COURT: Have you entered into a formal written stipulation or we're taking it on the record that's stipulated?

MR. SOLOMON: We have written into a formal stipulation, sir, and that will be Government Exhibit 8009, which we will move in evidence a little later when more of the documents have been presented.

Nicole Sesta, RPK, RMK, CRR Officia, Cour, Reporter

183 Arias - direct - Solomon 1 MR. PACKARD: We have stipulated on this, Your 2 Honor. I can represent that. 3 THE COURT: Okay. 4 MR. PACKARD: Thank you. THE COURT: At some point it comes in. Ladies and 5 gentlemen, we referenced in the opening instructions to you 6 7 that stipulations are where the lawyers agree on certain facts 8 or set of facts, and they come in without objection and 9 they're to be treated as established. 10 In this case, we're talking about an English 11 translation to the other documents that we now know are in 12 Spanish. 13 MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, I'll take that as a cue 14 and move into evidence 8009, which is the stipulation as to 15 the translation of certain documents as exchanged by the 16 parties. THE COURT: 17 That's the way we do it in Brooklyn. 18 Welcome to Brooklyn. Received without objection, I assume. 19 MR. PACKARD: Without objection. 20 THE COURT: Thank you. It's received in evidence. 21 (Government's Exhibit 8009 was received in 22 evidence.) 23 MR. SOLOMON: Ms. Jefferson, can we pull up 1033-T. 24 Display that for the jury, please, Mr. Villanueva. 25 Can we zoom in, first, on the to and from date?

184

1 BY MR. SOLOMON:

- 2 Q Sir, can you tell us who this communication is between?
- 3 And if you could, describe some of the folks by category.
- 4 | That will move things along.
- 5 A It's an email sent by me to the PEMEX team. And we have
- 6 copied our private lawyers and my trading team in which two
- 7 | proposals are contained, a financial proposal and the trading
- 8 proposal.
- 9 MR. SOLOMON: Ms. Jefferson, can you please pull up
- 10 the body of this email.
- 11 | Q Stepping back, sir, can you tell us what this email is
- 12 about?
- 13 A After the meetings that we had in Ecuador, as well as
- 14 | Mexico, we received documentation from PEMEX that was being
- 15 I transferred to all of the teams to be discussed.
- 16 MR. SOLOMON: Ms. Jefferson, can you please pull up
- 17 GX 1033-AT, which has been admitted in evidence. And, Mr.
- 18 | Villanueva, if we could show that to the jury, please.
- 19 Why don't we just start with the logo and the first
- 20 paragraph at the top.
- 21 Q Mr. Arias, can you tell us what this attachment is,
- 22 | generally?
- 23 A It's the document that I was speaking about that has two
- 24 parts. It's the details of the trading agreement, not just
- 25 | for the LPG deal, but for an additional deal, as well.

Nicole Sesta, RPK, RMK, CRR Official Court Reporter

	Arias - direci - Solomon 185
	Tirias aireet Solomon
1	Q Is that the Petroecuador logo at the top, sir?
2	A Yes.
3	Q Did Petroecuador prepare this document?
4	A No. That was a surprise because the document has some
5	inconsistencies.
6	MR. SOLOMON: Ms. Jefferson, can you zoom in on the
7	number at the bottom? Very small.
8	Q Sir, do you know what this number represents?
9	MR. PACKARD: Objection. Speculation.
10	THE COURT: Overruled. We'll find out, if he knows.
11	A Yes.
12	Q What is this code?
13	MR. PACKARD: Objection. Foundation.
14	Q What is this number?
15	MR. PACKARD: Objection. Foundation.
16	THE COURT: It's in evidence.
17	BY MR. SOLOMON:
18	A It's a tracking code of a document.
19	Q And do you know where this document was drafted, sir?
20	A According to this code, in New York.
21	MR. PACKARD: Objection. Foundation.
22	THE COURT: Is it a document? I understand this to
23	be a document from the company of which he is next to the
24	president in charge.
25	MR. PACKARD: I believe he testified it was not from

Arias - direct - Solomon 186 1 his company, Your Honor. 2 MR. SOLOMON: That's correct, Your Honor, but he 3 testified he understood what the code means, and so we've 4 asked him. MR. PACKARD: That was the foundation objection 5 lodged, Your Honor. 6 7 He says he understands. We'll find out THE COURT: what the basis of his understanding is of the code. 8 9 THE INTERPRETER: May the interpreter finish giving 10 the answer? MR. SOLOMON: Yes. My apologies. 11 BY MR. SOLOMON: 12 13 According to the code, it was drafted in New York and 14 it's the first version. 15 THE COURT: Now ask it anyway. How do you know what this code means, sir? 16 Q Many companies, we would use codes but this was not a 17 18 Petroecuador's tracking code. 19 THE COURT: Are you familiar with the code here? 20 THE WITNESS: With this specific one, no. It did 21 not pertain or belong to Petroecuador. 22 So the question was, then, how do you THE COURT: 23 know from looking at the code that it came from a New York 24 office if you don't have familiarity with the code?

THE WITNESS: At the beginning of the code it says

187

NY, which means New York, and at the end of the code you can see V1, which means version one.

MR. PACKARD: Move to strike. NY can mean lots of things.

THE COURT: Stricken. Stricken means the jury disregards it.

MR. SOLOMON: Please pull up paragraph B,

8 Ms. Jefferson.

7

9

10

11

Q Sir, what has been displayed is in English. I understand you can read in English, but just as a reminder you have before you both the English and Spanish versions. So you can

12 | turn to whichever you prefer.

13 A Okay.

14 Q Paragraph B begins by saying Vitol loan to PEC.

Can you explain what this paragraph is about, sir?

16 A As I had said before, a condition of the transaction was

a loan of \$500 million, which gave them the right to sell us

18 LPG.

17

19 Q To be clear, the document I think says \$500.

Does that mean \$500, sir?

21 A No. It was \$500 million, and that's part of the

22 | inconsistencies that I had mentioned.

23 Q Was the defendant involved in this negotiation?

24 A Yes.

25 Q Did you discuss the amount of the loan with the

Nicole Sesta, RPF, RMF, CRR Officia, Cour, Reporter

		Arias - direct - Solomon 188
1	defe	ndant?
2	Α	Yes.
3	Q	Was the amount of the loan that Petroecuador was looking
4	for	\$500 million?
5	Α	Yes, for LPG.
6	Q	Were you looking for an additional loan for anything else
7	in c	onnection with this transaction, sir?
8	Α	Yes.
9	Q	Please explain.
10	Α	It was also the right for PEMEX to sell us diesel
11	gaso	line for a loan of one billion.
12	Q	A billion dollars?
13	Α	Yes.
14	Q	So twice the amount that is reflected in this document?
15		MR. PACKARD: Objection.
16		THE COURT: Sustained.
17	Q	Did you discuss the request for a billion dollar loan
18	with	the defendant, sir?
19	Α	I did.
20	Q	Was it a problem that this document said 500 million when
21	Petr	oecuador was looking for a billion?
22	Α	Yes. Not just that, there are other things, too.
23	Q	Did you discuss that problem and the other things with
24	the	defendant?
25	Α	Yes, immediately.
	Ī	

189 Arias - direct - Solomon What did he tell you in response? 1 Q 2 Not to worry, that he had arranged everything, and that Α 3 was PEMEX's fault. 4 Q Did he tell you what he meant by arranged everything? Yes. When I expressed my grievances to him for these 5 6 problem and inconsistencies, I was very upset and bothered because of the time wasted. And he told me not to worry. 7 Не 8 said I am supervised two of the people mentioned on this document. 9 Interpreter correction. 10 THE INTERPRETER: 11 I controlled two of the people in this document. 12 (Continued on next page.) 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

Arias - Direct - Solomon 190 (continuing.) 1 2 What did you understand the defendant to mean when he 3 said he controlled two of the people in this document? 4 MR. PACKARD: Objection. Speculation. Relevance. THE COURT: He's asking what he understood he was 5 told. Overruled. 6 7 That he had power over Margarita and Blanca Estela 8 Those are the names he mentioned. 9 Q Now, sir, when you say power over, what do you mean? 10 I understood what he said, which is you have no idea. We have them under our control. We've paid them several 11 12 times. 13 MR. SOLOMON: Ms. Jefferson, can you please pull 14 up GX 1033 T, which has been admitted in evidence, and display that for the jury. And pull up just the names up 15 16 top. 17 (Exhibit published.) 18 Q Sir, did he tell you the people he controlled at PEMEX? 19 Yes. Α 20 Q Are these people on this e-mail, sir? 21 Α Yes. 22 Q Who are they? 23 Α Margarita Perez and Blanca Estela Coeto. 24 And can you read the e-mail handle for Margarita Perez Q 25 and Blanca Estela?

Arias - Direct - Solomon 191 For Margarita Perez, it's 1 Yes. Α 2 Margarita.Perez@PMICIM.com. What is PMICIM? 3 Q 4 Α It's the company Petroleos Mexicanos, PEMEX. And Ms. Coeto, where does she work? 5 Q Also at PEMEX. 6 Α 7 Are those what you described earlier as companias Q 8 estatales? 9 Α Yes. 10 And those relationship government companies, sir; is 11 that right? 12 Yes. Of Mexico. 13 MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, I have borrowed three extra minutes of your time. I think I will retire here for 14 the day, for the weekend. Thank you. 15 16 Thank you very much, Mr. Solomon. THE COURT: 17 Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, that does bring 18 us to the end of the session today. Again, we all 19 appreciate your patience and your attentive opinions and 20 your sacrifice. So we do break for the day and for the 21 weekend and we will resume on Monday. 22 I will, again, repeat, as I indicated earlier, by 23 the time this trial is over, you can tell me, because I am 24 going to keep repeating them and reminding you that I keep 25 repeating them because it's so critically important.

Proceedings

that is during this recess weekend, you are not to discuss the case amongst yourselves or with anyone else. You are to continue to keep an open mind.

We remain on radio silence. No mention of the fact that you are a juror or coming to the courthouse or anything else that we do here during the course of this trial, the fact that you are even coming to Brooklyn. No communications about anything that even remotely touches upon this trial.

It also remains -- and, again, by any means of communication, from the old fashioned pen to the most modern form of, what is it called, now, X, formally known as Twitter and all those things? No communications in any way regarding the case.

It's a homework-free zone. There is no research permitted, either electronic search engines or otherwise. You are to totally relax and not perform any work at home relating to this case. All the work that you will be asked to do and all the work that you are permitted to do that relates to this case happens within the four walls of this courtroom until the day when the deliberations begin and you move into the deliberation room for your deliberations.

To the extent that this case is covered in some form of media, and, again, we had that broad definition of media, from newspapers to Instagram and other social

Proceedings

platforms, media platforms, you are to totally tune it out, mind, eyes and ears, and, again, I encourage you to tune out the media reports of any court proceeding anywhere for fear that you may hear something in the course of those media accounts that will ultimately confuse you about what your responsibilities are here.

Now, I have to check with William on this, but to make sure, where are they to report on Monday?

THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Still the Central Jury room. There is a separate room for them.

THE COURT: So they are going to Central Jury.

THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: I gave them directions

already. I will repeat it to them.

THE COURT: William will remind you -- Monday is a very large jury call. So we want to make sure that you all stay separate from the huge panel that will be coming in for consideration for jury selection that day, but it will be, the initial report will be to the Central Jury room in the specific room that William will tell you about.

Try to get to the Central Jury room no later than 9:45. We'll try to start as close as 10:00 as we can. And we will try to keep you posted as much as we can about scheduling.

What we know for the upcoming week, for sure, is that we have a hard stop at 1 o'clock on Wednesday, so the

Proceedings

jury will not be working in this case on Wednesday afternoon.

And William, what is our status on Friday?

THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: We have other matters.

THE COURT: So ordinarily, and, again, as you all found out already, we try to give you as much notice as we can about the schedule. The unfortunate thing about that is that sometimes the schedule changes, and circumstances that we cannot control.

However, if the schedule holds, and we'll try to give you updates as the week goes on, we will not be sitting on this case on Friday. So that right now, the schedule for next week looks like full days on Monday, Tuesday and Thursday, and a half day on Wednesday. That's what the current schedule looks like.

If the weather people get totally bamboozled by what's going on out there and it turns out that three inches of snow turns into 30 inches of snow because something moved by two-and-a-half miles somewhere, we will keep you posted, if we have to face that circumstance, either Monday or later on during the course of this trial. Keeping in mind that in the good old days, February was the snowiest month of the year in New York, so not that we've seen snow, but it's possible that snow may return.

At any rate, again, we appreciate your sacrifice,

ı	
	Proceedings 195
1	we appreciate your patience, bid you a wonderful weekend,
2	look forward to seeing you on Monday morning.
3	(Jury exits.)
4	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Arias, you are free to step
5	down.
6	(Witness left the stand.)
7	THE COURT: We want to thank our interpreters for
8	their very able service, both on this side of the courtroom
9	and that side of the courtroom.
10	And also for our Court reporters, as always, who
11	always go the extra mile with or without this case.
12	Anything else we need to attend to before we go?
13	MR. LAX: Not on behalf of the government, Your
14	Honor.
15	MR. PACKARD: Nor on behalf of the defense, Your
16	Honor.
17	THE COURT: Bid you a good weekend and see you
18	Monday.
19	MR. LAX: Thank you, Your Honor.
20	THE COURT: You are welcome.
21	(Matter adjourned to January 8, 2024 at 10:00 a.m.)
22	
23	
24	
25	

		196
1	INDEX	
2		
3	WITNESSES:	
4		
5	NILSEN ARIAS SANDOVAL	
6	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SOLOMON	87
7		
8		
9	EXHIBITS:	
10		
11	Government's Exhibits 1, 2, 5, 8, 10,	126
12	12, 13, 14, and 15	
13	Government's Exhibits A1 through A9	179
14	Government's Exhibits 1033 and 1033-A	182
15	Government's Exhibit 8009	183
16		
17		
18		
19		
20	* * *	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

CMH OCR RDR FCRR